Byron Shire Council Water Supply - Action Plan Page 1

Summary

In 2015-16, Byron Shire Council implemented all the water supply outcomes required by the NSW Best-Practice Management Framework and its performance has been [to be completed by Council].

Key actions from Council's Strategic Business Plan:

- Insert achievements for Key Action 1 here for Byron Shire Council
- Insert achievements for Key Action 2 here for Byron Shire Council

	INDICATOR	RESULT ²		COMMENT/DRIVERS	ACTION				
	Best-Practice Management Framework	Implemented all the Best-Practice Required Outcomes ¹	Very good	Implementation demonstrates effectiveness and sustainability of water supply business. 100% implementation is required for eligibility to pay an 'efficiency dividend'.	Prepare a new 30-year IWCM Strategy, Financial Plan and Report in accordance with the July 2014 IWCM Check List (www.water.nsw.gov.au).				
CHARACTERISTICS									
5	Connected property density	43 per km of main Highest ranking (1, 1)		A connected property density below 30 can significantly increase the cost per property of providing services, as will also a high number of small discrete water supply schemes.					
	Renewals expenditure	2.9%	Very good	Adequate funds must be programmed for works outlined in the Asset Management Plan – page 3 of the 2014-15 NSW Performance Monitoring Report.	FOR INDICATORS 9 to 56 Where ranking is low, investigate reasons including past performance and trends, develop remedial action plan and summarise in this column.				
9		Highest ranking (1, 1)							
10	Employees	0.8 per 1,000 props							
10		Highest ranking (1, 1)							
SOCIAL - CHARGES									
	Residential water usage charge	247 c/kL	Good	Benefits of strong pricing signals are shown on page 5 of the 2014-15 NSW Performance Monitoring Report.					
12		High ranking (2, 2)							
13	Residential access charges	\$179 per assessment	Good		See 16.				
13		Highest ranking (1, 1)			See 10.				
	Typical residential bill ³ (TRB)	\$596 per assessment	Good	TRB should be consistent with projection in the financial plan. Drivers – OMA Management Cost and Capital Expenditure.	See 43.				
14		High ranking (2, 2)							
45	Typical developer charges	\$3600 per ET							
15		Low ranking (4, 4)							
	Residential revenue from usage charges	70% of residential bills	Satisfactory	≥ 75% of residential revenue should be generated through usage charges.					
16		Median ranking (3, 3)							
SOCIAL – HEALTH									
19	Physical quality compliance	Yes Highest ranking (1, 1)	Very good						
19a	Chemical quality compliance	Yes Highest ranking (1, 1)	Very good						
20	Microbiological compliance ⁴	Yes Highest ranking (1, 1)	Very good	Critical indicator. LWUs should annually review their DWMS in accordance with NSW guidelines ⁴ .					

- 1. Council needs to annually 'roll forward', review and update its 30-year total asset management plan (TAMP) and 30-year financial plan, review Council's TBL Performance Report and prepare an **Action Plan** to Council. The Action Plan is to include any actions identified in Council's annual review of its DWMS (Indicator 20) and any section 61 Reports from DPI Water. Refer to pages 21, 98 and 102 of the 2015-16 NSW Water Supply and Sewerage Performance Monitoring Report.
- 2. The ranking relative to similar size LWUs is shown first (Col. 2 of TBL Report) followed by the ranking relative to all LWUs (Col. 3 of TBL Report).
- 3. Review and comparison of the 2016-17 **Typical Residential Bill (Indicator 14)** with the projection in the later of your IWCM Strategy and financial plan and your Strategic Business Plan is **mandatory**.

 In addition, if both indicators 43 and 44 are negative, you must report your proposed 2017-18 typical residential bill to achieve full cost recovery.
- 4. Microbiological compliance (Indicator 20) is a high priority for each NSW LWU. Corrective action for non-compliance (≤97%), or any 'boil water alerts' must be reported in your Action Plan. Refer to pages 5, 6 and 21 of the 2015-16 NSW Water Supply and Sewerage Performance Monitoring Report (www.water.nsw.gov.au) and NSW Guidelines for drinking water quality management systems, NSW Health and NSW Office of Water, 2013.

Byron Shire Council Water Supply – Action Plan Page 2

INDICATOR		RESULT		COMMENT/DRIVERS	ACTION
SOCIAL - LEVELS OF S		SERVICE			
25	Water quality complaints	1.4 per 1,000 props	Satisfactory	Critical indicator of customer service.	
		Median ranking (3, 3)	Satisfactory		
26	Service complaints	0 per 1,000 props Highest ranking (1, 1)	Very good	Key indicator of customer service.	
27	Average frequency of unplanned interruptions	13 per 1,000 props High ranking (2, 3)	Good	Key indicator of customer service, condition of network and effectiveness of operation.	
30	Number of main breaks	7 per 100km of main High ranking (2, 2)	Good	Drivers – condition and age of water mains, ground conditions.	
32	Total Days Lost	0.3% High ranking (2, 2)	Good		
EN	VIRONMENTAL	g			
33	Average annual residential water supplied	169 kL per prop		Drivers – available water supply, climate, location (Inland or coastal),	
		Median ranking (3, 2)		pricing signals (Indicator 3), restrictions.	
34	Real losses (leakage)	90 L/c/d	May require review	Loss reduction is important where an LWU is facing drought water restrictions or the need to augment	
		Low ranking (4, 3)		its water supply system.	
EC	ONOMIC				
43	Economic Real Rate of Return (ERRR)	3.1%	Good	Reflects the rate of return generated from operating activities (excluding interest income and grants).	
		High ranking (2, 1)		An ERRR or ROA of \geq 0% is required for full cost recovery.	
44	Return on assets (ROA)	3.6% High ranking (2, 2)		See 43.	
		11%	Good	LWUs facing significant capital investment are encouraged to make greater use of borrowings – page 13 of the 2014-15 NSW Performance Monitoring Report.	
45	Net debt to equity	High ranking (2, 1)			
46	Interest cover	3 Highest ranking (1, 1)		Drivers – in general, an interest cover > 2 is satisfactory.	
	Loan payment	\$0 per prop		The component of TRB required to	
47		Low ranking (4, 3)		meet debt payments. Drivers – expenditure on capital works, short term loans.	
		\$471 per prop		Prime indicator of the financial performance of an LWU.	
49	Operating cost (OMA)	Low ranking (4, 2)	May require review	Drivers – development density, level of treatment, management cost, topography, number of discrete schemes and economies of scale.	Review components carefully to ensure efficient operating cost.
51	Management cost	\$137 per prop	Good	Typically about 40% of the OMA. Drivers – No. of employees. No. of small discrete water schemes.	
31		High ranking (2, 2)			
52	Treatment cost	\$21 per prop	Very good	Drivers – type and quality of water source. Size of treatment works	
_		Highest ranking (1, 1)			
53	Pumping cost		Not reported	Drivers – topography, development density and location of water source.	
55	Water main cost	\$54 per prop	Very good	Drivers – age and condition of mains. Ground conditions. Development density.	
		Highest ranking (1, 1)			
56	Capital expenditure	\$243 per prop	Satisfactory	An indicator of the level of investment in the business. Drivers – age and condition of assets, asset life cycle and water source.	
		Median ranking (3, 2)			