

FW: Objection Document



To: Cr. Michael Lyon; Cr. Sarah Ndiaye; Cr. Alan Hunter; Cr. Cate Coorey; Cr. Sama Balson; Council
Cc: Cr. Peter Westheimer; Cr. Duncan Dey; Cr. Asren Pugh; Cr. Mark Swivel; Chris Lonergan

Share Reply Reply All Forward ...

Tue 9/05/2023 11:38 AM

Attachment 1: Objection - 404 Coopers Shoot Road.pdf (3 MB)
Attachment 2: IMG_9931 (002).jpg (169 KB)
Attachment 3: IMG_9916 (002).jpg (225 KB)

Dear Michael and all Councillors and Greg Yopp,

This is now the second time this DA has come before council. You were very kind to rule in my favour and enforce this building envelope at the very beginning. They wanted to delete it and now they want to enlarge it to be less than 10 meters from my boundary, bedroom, living room, etc. See red flag on photo attached. Attached is the formal objection for this new DA from Chris Lonergan.

As you know the main impact for me now is my privacy and rural amenity. The fact that they now want to build less than 10 meters off my bedroom, living room, border which would set a new precedent in this rural area as all homes along Coopers Shoot have at the very least 50m offset. You can see this in Google Maps quite clearly.

My reasons for objection are quite a few.

- 1) I don't want to be at war with my new neighbours (the buyers of this block) about this for a future building DA. That is why it should be settled before they sell this block.
They want to get \$3.8 million like the one next to it and this is not fair or right. They want no encumbrances to stop the sale. It is almost deceitful and sends a very bad message to the new buyers
That all is well with me and IT IS NOT.
- 2) **My privacy and rural amenity, not to mention rural amenity would be shot completely.**
- 3) And of course my rural view to the Lighthouse effected with the new envelope proposed.
- 4) My tenant is seriously concerned about her privacy and rural amenity which will be greatly affected
As well

It is just sending a really bad message for these kind of developments that they can do what they want without considering the fact that I have been here over 25 years and I cannot move my home. As I mentioned, Chris Lonergan has set forth a more formal objection and I hope this is being seriously considered. They can't do this to me and my property. It doesn't seem quite fair to me that they should gain millions of dollars for their property at the expense of my privacy, Rural amenity, views and of course the value on my property should this proceed.

This was decided by council twice before now and here it is again. Please come to my home and see how this impacts me and my property, I need your help please. Call me for any clarification.

