

To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?	Please provide any feedback you have about the project	Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway	Which option do you prefer?	Please provide any other comments you'd like to make
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north	
Strongly support	Please involve XX in this project	With my kids	Option 1 - the north option	Please involve XX in your project. Option 1 is similar to his proposal. Option 2 is too hard.
Strongly support	Safe cycling between all towns is an absolute necessity.	I regularly cycle in North Byron.	Option 1 - the north option	It is more likely to attract funding. It will markedly add to visits from the Tweed Rail Trail, adding economic benefits to Mullum, OS and Brunswick Heads. It will offer people living and visiting North Byron Shire access to the Tweed RT without having to take their bike by car. It offers sustainable interconnectivity between the centres on active transport.
Strongly support	I have ridden from Mullum to Brunz via Saddle Rd and the section from where Saddle Rd joins Mullumbimby Rd into town is scary on a bike with cars doing 80kph. This section is narrow, hilly and poor edges. Utilising the proposed rail trail heading North also ties in better with Ocean Shores	Doing a combined cycle and paddle trip. Paddle from Mullum to Brunz, pick up car and return to Mullum to get bike. This is a great holiday trip I have done with my family	Option 1 - the north option	Safer and more open to residents at Ocean Shores
Strongly support	Great for our youth		Option 2 - the south	
Strongly oppose	You can't possibly think it's a good idea to send people through farms. There will be total disregard for private property. People here already think it's okay to enter farms without permission. Have you actually seen how many people DON'T use the one in the Bay. It will be another waste of ratepayer money.	Don't waste rate payer money for a few people. Fix the roads and drains instead	I don't support either of the two options proposed	Waste of money. Who is going to foot the insurance bill for people illegally going onto private properties?
Strongly support	After trying to cycle between the three areas and finding difficulty, I look forward to these new paths.	I will need to use it to know how practical it is as an alternative to driving.	Option 1 - the north option	If council will only do one of the routes, then connecting 3 areas is better than 2.
Strongly support	Many in this area (Mullumbimby) don't have cars so this would be a good option for those agile and wanting to cycle to Brunswick Head etc			Which ever is the easiest to cycle
Strongly support	I would prefer it wouldn't be on the road leading out of mullum to uncle Tom's, as there is already a lot of difficulty with having space to react as a driver if there's objects on the road / flooding etc.	Wide enough for two bikes to pass or ride together 🙏	Option 1 - the north option	A map would be helpful
Somewhat support			Option 2 - the south	
Strongly support	We have needed this for such a long time!!	It would be so good to have somewhere safe to walk/ride without the danger of cars. There have been near misses and fatalities in the past. I think it would be used by many families and individuals.	Unsure	I thing either option would be awesome!!

To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?	Please provide any feedback you have about the project	Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway	Which option do you prefer?	Please provide any other comments you'd like to make
Somewhat support	I'd rather see money spent on a train than a cycle path. A cycle path does little to compensate for the lack public transport. Mass transport would assist residents in moving around the area and could promote tourism without adding to congested roads.	A cycle path caters for a minority. Improved mass public transport is where I believe the focus should be.	Unsure	I think I've made my position clear.
Strongly support	Wonderful idea	For childrens activity	Unsure	
Strongly support			Unsure	
Strongly support	This area needs safe pathways for adults and children to use to travel around the areas proposed. As it is we have to use the edge of gulgan road which is very dangerous.		Option 2 - the south option	Build both options!!! We need this in our community
Strongly support	Absolutely fabulous idea!!!!		Option 1 - the north option	Don't mind either route but think option 1 may be safer?
Strongly support	I would love a bike path from Mullum to Bruns! Most of the time this is all the driving I do, and would love to cycle instead, saving money ,reducing emissions and staying healthy.		Option 2 - the south option	I feel like option 2 will be less hilly and safer.
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	I used to ride mullum to bruns. Was aggressively honked at a couple of times esp as the road narrows near uncle toms. A welcome addition to connect the towns. Ids can ride to the beach.I'm all for it.		Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	It's a wonderful project to get more people less reliant on fossil fuels to get around our shire.	Getting a safe route to and from the beach, is highly desirable	Option 1 - the north option	Option 1 is a safer off-road path and is in a quieter and more pleasant surrounding with its fabulous scenery overlooking Mt Chincogan.
Strongly support	Cycle routes throughout the shire are extremely important. They encourage more people to cycle if not in contact with cars. A great means of transport and good for health.	The cycleway will provide a new route for our cycle club to use for recreation.	Option 1 - the north option	Quieter, off road route. Using the rail corridor makes sense, especially if the rail trail eventually comes here.
Strongly support	Absolutely! I ride this scary road to Bruns from Mullum every weekend and risk my life doing so! It only takes 30mins and I think every time what a shame it is that it's not more accessible for families to do.		Unsure	Are they both the same length ? I need more information it's really hard to evaluate this from the information provided. Are they the same in terms of hills/flatness etc?
Strongly support	Love the idea, would be great to have both so you could ride the whole loop!		Option 1 - the north option	I'd prefer to have both to ride the loop
Strongly support	Strongly support using the rail corridor (north option). And generally that Byron Council should get on board with the whole Northern Rivers Rail Trail project.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	I believe it would be a safer method for runners, walkers and cyclists to commute before the two areas.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	This is so badly needed. I currently ride on the road out of Mullum and it is so dangerous.		Option 2 - the south option	Option 1 would be quite difficult to ride at the Ocean Shores end. Too hilly therefore people will not use it.

**To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?**

**Please provide any feedback you have about the project**

**Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway**

**Which option do you prefer?**

**Please provide any other comments you'd like to make**

Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	This is a vital first step to creating a connected and cohesive cycle network that links the major population centres of the Shire.		Option 1 - the north option	The North Option is by far the superior of the two. The primary advantage are that it provides a link to Ocean Shores (the largest population centre in the shire). Connecting Mullumbimby to Brunswick heads via Ocean Shores outweighs any other advantages of the South Option. The North Option also provides a 'first step' to linking a rail-trail to the Tweed Shire rail trail. The South Option has significant portions of the route as on-road formation. This creates an undesirable riding condition, where cyclists are threatened by fast moving vehicular traffic. It also contains significantly steep climbs in elevation. Furthermore, the developers of the XX XX, and X X X have both expressed willingness to fund cycleways to their respective projects. As such, this should be provided by them, not by Council. The North Option has clear and obvious advantages to the broader community, and should be supported by Council.
Strongly support	The path should integrate with the rail trail as much as possible to avoid the duplication of infrastructure.	I will use the rail trail from Billinudgel when it is constructed, but this doesn't have the option of connecting to brunswick heads.	Option 1 - the north option	will depend on how easy the connection between synnots lane and bruns. Depending on how tortuous this is, it may be easier to do the saddle road option.
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	As a cyclist I would love a cycleway it would also promote healthy habits for the community	It would also allow me to do a full loop from SGB to the pocket to main arm then mullum and all the way back	Option 1 - the north option	A more scenic route
Strongly support	Take note of any information from XX as he done ground truthing walks along different paths that would give a much better and easier route that people on bikes will be happy too ride . Steep gradient hills are the biggest deterrent for cyclists.	Ensure that gradients are low by using switchbacks or taking a different route	Option 1 - the north option	From the end of Synotts Lane use XX map for the best solution. Look at buying a 99 year lease over access on private properties.
Strongly support	Providing safe cycling paths is the the second gun of a progressive society.		Option 1 - the north option	Connection using the rail corridor is a great idea take it to Crabbes Creek Trailhead connecting Murwillumbah to Mullumbimby and Brunswick Heads would be a tourist boom .
Strongly support	There is no downside. It will improve amenity, health outcomes and increase property values.	Fantastic for tourists.	Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Build both options, and the rail trail. They will be used. The more connections between communities and places, the better.	Create links with the rail trail to facilitate tourism and active recreation and transport.	Unsure	Both, and the rail trail
Strongly support	Bike infrastructure is in increasing be and. Byron shire has very limited bike only paths. Connecting the townships of the shire by bike will be a huge boost to communities and tourism. Especially with the rail trail about to open on our doorstep.		Option 1 - the north option	Using the rail corridor makes great sense. Safe and a good grade for cycling.

**To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?**

**Please provide any feedback you have about the project**

**Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway**

**Which option do you prefer?**

**Please provide any other comments you'd like to make**

Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Presently its impossible to visit mullum on a bike. I would like to ride all over. I'm from pottsville and ride along the beach to Brunswick or kingscliffe but also over the Ridge to burringbar. I would love to ride from pottsville to myllumbimby and stay at the camp ground there. The campground everywhere else is unaffordable but thankful there is still a campground that I can afford there. So I will camp there over night and head back in the morning.	For leisure and wellbeing. I hate seeing g all the dead grass council has put along the sides if the road, poisoned, it makes me very very depressed to drive on the road so I prefer to ride my bike on places that havnt been poisoned because it makes me emotionally sick.	Option 1 - the north option	More off road and nature the better
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	Other areas of Australia have used rail corridors to great community benefit.
Strongly support	I think it will enhance the area and attract many more visitors		Option 1 - the north option	Why not implement both options
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	I personally wouldn't use a cycle route that is mostly part of a road for safety reasons.
Strongly support	I cycle to Brunswick Heads occasionally, it would be great to have options to continue.	I ride the BVRT with friends, great experience, looking forward to the Northern Rivers Rail Trail	Option 1 - the north option	More cycling away from roads, and rail routes attract more cyclist
Strongly support	I am a keen cyclist and like riding on bike paths and rail trails. In conjunction with the Northern Rivers Rail Trail this will be a great asset to the area.	I have ridden many bike paths and rail trails in Qld and Victoria and look forward to riding more in the Northern Rivers where I live.	Option 1 - the north option	It prefer option 1 if it utilises some of the old rail corridor so it can be used in conjunction with the Northern Rivers Rail Trail.
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	It'd make a huge difference to be able to cycle off main roads!		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Cycle routes are great for exercise and safe. Experience elsewhere says they are low impact tourism.	We use cycle paths and rail trails as we are older and do not feel safe on roads. This option keeps us cycling.	Option 1 - the north option	More off road and rail trails are gentle inclines.
Strongly support	Just put it on the disused rail line & stop being stupid. Your shire is ruining the whole Northern Rivers rail trail concept.	Use the disused rail line. Common sense really!!!!	Option 1 - the north option	Just use the rail corridor like the shires either side of you.
Strongly support	Sooner the better. A great economic and social initiative for the community and visitors alike.		Option 1 - the north option	Safety and accessibility should be key decisions.

To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?	Please provide any feedback you have about the project	Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway	Which option do you prefer?	Please provide any other comments you'd like to make
Strongly support	Over the past 15-20 years, rail trails have been introduced in Victoria, South Australia, Tasmania & Queensland. They have been very successful in bringing life back to small towns & villages. Businesses are prospering with locals using the trails for exercise & commuting & people are selecting these locations from around Australia & overseas for outdoor holidays. Many rail trails have been established in other countries, including New Zealand (with more under development), the USA, Canada & Great Britain. They are very popular with locals & attract visitors from around the world. NSW is a long way behind & is missing out on the many social & economic benefits rail trails bring to regional communities.	I live in Sydney & have travelled throughout Victoria, Tasmania & Queensland to cycle rail trails in those states. I've also travelled to New Zealand for the same reason. These are family trips & we contribute to the local economies by buying food, visiting local attractions, accommodation, bicycle supplies & repairs. I've also travelled to several rail trails without my family. I visit rail trails several times each year. They are a great way to learn about the nature & history of regional areas while getting to meet people (locals & visitors) along the routes.	Option 1 - the north option	Rail trails that use existing but unused rail infrastructure provide the safest routes for cyclists, pedestrians, horse riders & people who use mobility scooters. They also preserve the history & heritage of the disused railway lines. By far, the most popular trails I've ridden have been those that include infrastructure such as railway bridges, railway stations & items of equipment from the past. They also provide unbeatable views & a proximity to nature that's not possible using roads.
Strongly support	The two proposals look wonderful. Why not work towards building both.	Make the grading suitable for all abilities.	Option 1 - the north option	Build both.
Strongly support	Would be amazing to have more trails around the area. After moving back home to the area from Melbourne we're walking/riding trails like this are all over connecting so many towns etc and the amount of people it gets out of the house and active! I think it would be the best thing for the residents that live in the area and bring in more people who love hiking and biking etc		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	This is way overdue. Should have happened years ago. Bring it on!	The road from Uncle Toms to Mullum is unsafe for cyclists	Option 1 - the north option	Build BOTH! They would BOTH be used extensively.
Strongly support	I would love to see a cycleway from Casino all the way through to the boarder via Byron, Mullumbimby & Brunswick Heads.	Would definitely love to use it when friends are visiting from the capital cities to show them the amazing region we all call home whilst keeping the impact to the environment to a minimum.	Option 1 - the north option	I would love to actually see both options & tuning into a loop would be amazing.
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	I prefer option A with the proviso that too steep hills are avoided as they deterr some cyclists.	Please do it soon!	Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	The re use of unlikely to be used rail is fabulous for family's or anyone! Please get this done.	We moved from an area in Newcastle that repurposed old coal mining railway to cycleways. It is so busy all the time. Fully support this use.	Unsure	Both are fine.
Strongly support	A safe route away from cars would benefit our community in accessing Brunswick Heads and ocean shores. Prefer option 1 using the rail corridor.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	I'm glad a safe cycling option is finally being considered		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Unsure	I'd like more details such as elevation map, surface type, distance....

**To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?**

**Please provide any feedback you have about the project**

**Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway**

**Which option do you prefer?**

**Please provide any other comments you'd like to make**

Strongly support	The easiest possible path between Mullum an Bruns would be fantastic.		Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	This is a marvellous idea, push bikes and walkway .		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	To take advantage of the rail trail is ideal due to the low gradient that would make it accessible to more people. In addition this would be a quiet route, making cycling more pleasurable and more desirable for families. Personally I want to be able to cycle to Mullumbimby frequently (at least once per week) to support businesses there, rather than solely supporting Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores retail and shopping	For shopping and retail, as well as banking and library and to visit council office as needed	Option 1 - the north option	Option 1 is far better - safer, quieter, takes advantage of a currently unused trail. If Option 2 was chosen I don't think many would use it given the need to be on the main road until the turnoff towards the saddle road
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	Will also compliment joining up with the Tweed section of the rail trail to the north.
Strongly support	This is a wonderful idea. I find many of the roads dangerous for riding and this would be a much safer option. It encourages people , inc young people to get out and ride, reduces cars on the road and can be good for tourism as well. It keeps the roads safer for riders and drivers as well.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Also we would love a safe cycle connection from Brunswick to Byron Bay.		Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support			Unsure	
Strongly support	We need more cycleways throughout the shire so that residents, teens and tourists can cycle between towns and communities		Option 2 - the south option	Ideally, both of these routes would be implemented.
Strongly support	So much enthusiasm and growing for bike trails. Brings heaps of tourism \$'s		Unsure	Do both. Make a great circuit
Strongly support	The use of current infrastructure would appear to have less negative impact on the environment	It is another wonderful tourist attraction as well as health benefits to commuters. Less cars is also good for the environment. Climate Is conducive to committing	Option 1 - the north option	Because it uses old rail line- minimal gradient
Strongly support	Great project to prioritise - ticks so many boxes!	our family is a great fan of cycleways and often visit Mullum and Brunswick heads - be a great motivator to visit Bruns more often and to add to the beach a bike trip to Mullum have lunch there and then back to Bruns - highly support for health family and economic benefits	Option 1 - the north option	Be great to make use of the existing rail corridor and would be a cost reduction if Byron chose to take part in the Rail Trail to link up the 132km route from Murbah to Casino vai Mullum Byron etc



**To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?**

**Please provide any feedback you have about the project**

**Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway**

**Which option do you prefer?**

**Please provide any other comments you'd like to make**

Strongly support	In my experience as an older, eBike rider, a well-marked Rail Trail provides the optimal experience for cycling, especially if there's facilities along the way and at both ends of the Trail. They're even better when the ride can be completed in a loop fashion as against an out-and-back.	I reside in Sydney, and would drive to Mullumbimby or Brunswick Heads for a 3-4 day break and ride the Trail.	Option 1 - the north option	I'd suggest you join the two route options and make it a loop ride.
Strongly support	Option 2	Option 2	Option 2 - the south option	Not rail corridor!!
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	I am visiting E Gippsland at the moment and I have seen the uptake of the rail trail here. With bike riders and tourists it has been a great success for people on a fitness level and tourism.		Option 1 - the north option	Using rail corridor makes a lot of sense. We could then extend it to other local towns.
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support		Although I wouldn't use it at my age I think it is vital to have a traffic-safe cycling option for locals and visitors to the region.	Option 1 - the north option	The rail corridor is SUCH a wasted asset. Please let's use it somehow.
Strongly support	there is a critical need for a bikeway as soon as practicable linking 2 of the significant population and workforce centres in Byron Shire only 10 kms long. Whatever route is easiest to do first - I favour the northern route using part of redundant rail line.	With the growing use of electric bicycles, and bikes generally, the 10 km Mullum to Bruns cycleway is a no brainer, and will return on the investment in economic, health and tourism flow-on benefits. I will use it many times a month.	Option 1 - the north option	This option requires in part the use of the redundant railway line, a great idea to use redundant infrastructure, the route north of the Brunswick River bridge will require some work, it is quite dangerous and narrow at present. Council should proceed with the whichever option is achievable quickest. Just get it done !
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	I hope this is achieved, I'm sure there will be obstacles to remedy and work through to ultimately see this cycle route project delivered for the benefit of our community's		Option 1 - the north option	Would like to see both options eventually to be put in place
Strongly support			Unsure	
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	Cycling on our roads is not currently safe, and not fun!		Option 1 - the north option	I think we should aim at developing both options.
Strongly support		Although I personally would rarely use it as we live in Federal. I think it's great, particularly for youth. Lack of transport and dangerous roads for biking are a major concern and barrier. This would enable healthy freedom. Love it.	Option 1 - the north option	Don't leave the youth of ocean shores out. Option 1 please. Also sounds more scenic. From a recreation and wellbeing perspective I like option 1 and would use this route also.

**To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?**

**Please provide any feedback you have about the project**

**Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway**

**Which option do you prefer?**

**Please provide any other comments you'd like to make**

Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	The south option seems to have more vehicle traffic leaving Mullum to Saddle rd which will mean that a very secure cycle track will be required to get out of Mullum and across Kings Creek The North Option is mostly off busy roads
Strongly support	Provision of active transport links (walking and cycling) between Byron Shire villages should be a high priority		Option 1 - the north option	The north option is off road, safe, easy grades and environmentally friendly
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	A safe way to cycle to Brunswick Heads from Mullumbimby would be fantastic		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	we need safe cycle ways all through the town of mullum		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	The south option is not as safe because it follows the main road out of mullum that is an 80km/h zone. . There are many car accidents along here and bikes would be at risk of fatal accidents.
Strongly support	I think south option however a third option along the river would be awesome		Option 2 - the south option	I'd prefer an option along the bruns river (south side) so you can stop at various points for picnics and fishing. Also would use riparian crown land so no need for private land...or less at least
Strongly oppose			I don't support either of the two options proposed	
Strongly support	Route 1 is my preference		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Wow, such a great project!!! I vote for option 1, from mullum to balemo Dr. Bike paths & sidewalk paths are long overdue all over Bruns valley/mullum, for such a huge family/young children population. They provide safety, and ease of getting around on bikes and encouraging exercise & fitness.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Currently cycling to Brunswick from mullum is like running a gauntlet, for many hundreds of meters on Mullumbimby rd there is no shoulder at all, it's an incredibly dangerous ride and one that you would never consider with a young family. Build whatever is the fastest route and can be built the soonest. It's crazy that byron has had a completely seperate bike path for years only to the suburb of Ewingsdale but there is nothing connecting two major towns in the same shire. This is way overdue.	What better way to head to one town or the other for a day out. This will be a major draw card for visitors and locals.	Option 2 - the south option	The south option looks like it could get done more practically



To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?	Please provide any feedback you have about the project	Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway	Which option do you prefer?	Please provide any other comments you'd like to make
Strongly support	Would prefer north option. We have a massive population of nearly 7000 across OS, NB and SGB and it would provide a safe route for many young kids to get to and from Mullum. The main priority is safety. It would be incredible to have this track in the area as currently riding over coolamon scenic drive is too dangerous to contemplate.	We would all use it as a family.	Option 1 - the north option	Will connect a very large community to services of Mullum.
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	Both options are ok but Option 1 cuts through too much natural vegetation and state protected areas. I imagine Option 2 would be cheaper and faster to approve and construct. We don't want to wait another 10 years for this.
Strongly support	This is a great idea. My kids often ask if they can ride to Bruns but I have to say no way because Mullumbimby Road is so dangerous for anyone riding, or walking for that matter.		Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	Great for exercise, teenagers to get around and social connections.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Please connect to rail trail at Crabbes creek . It would be amazing for this area to have cycling connections		Option 1 - the north option	Please connect to rail trail at Crabbes creek
Strongly support	This is a really great idea to join Mullumbimby with Brunswick heads via a bike route. It could be both a major transport and exercise route and fits well with Council's goals around a healthy and green future. Currently the route is only safe from Brunswick Heads to the Highway which is less than one-third of the way.	The route could also be used as a walking and running route. It is also not uncommon to see people trying to walk between the two towns and this is very dangerous especially at night as the road verge is so narrow.	I don't support either of the two options proposed	Neither of these routes is suitable mainly because of the large climbs involved in both. The Northern route involves a climb from 10 to 66m from Balemo Drive back towards the Brunswick River. This is a gradient of over 6%. The Southern Route involves a climb from 5m to 56m from Hambly Rd up to Saddle Road. This is a gradient of 10%. Manageable gradients are a key factor for usable and popular cycle routes for the general public. The Bicycle Institute of NSW and Austroads Guidelines state: 3% is the maximum desirable gradient and 5% is maximum gradient that should be used for a cycleway. The best flat route available is alongside Argyle St and Gulgan Rd. It is only marginally longer than the proposed Southern Route and involves a maximum height of around 24 metres as opposed to 54 metres. With adequate separation from the road it could be both a safe and attractive journey.
Neutral			Option 2 - the south option	Do not use the rail formation.
Strongly support	The roads in the area are unsafe for cyclists		Unsure	Happy with either option.

To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?	Please provide any feedback you have about the project	Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway	Which option do you prefer?	Please provide any other comments you'd like to make
Strongly support	A cycle route will be a drawcard for tourism.		Option 1 - the north option	The north option will be much safer since it will use part of an existing rail corridor rather than being on-road.
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	Active transport options are further facilitated by the boom in e-boostered micromobility options. Advancements in battery & motor efficiency will only grow. They will become valued & more widespread as we face a fossil fuel constrained future. Joining population centres with micromobility friendly shared paths will help address transport poverty, enable community wide access and use. It will help us all achieve a lower carbon footprint. Routes joining as many population centres as possible will become well used.	I will use it when I visit a relative who lives in Mullumbimby	Option 1 - the north option	that looks like the route that is closest to the most dwellings
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	This project will be a huge asset to community		Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	Option 1 would be a waste of money and I'd rather see rail in the rail corridor!
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Brilliant idea!		Option 2 - the south option	The south option should be used to make use of the existing shared pathway in along Tweed St to the south of Brunswick. This idea has been around since the late 90's, hence that pathway.  I do also believe that the north option should be constructed as well to provide a circular route. BOTH should be built, with alterations to the north option to preserve as much of the railway line as possible. There will be a need for it in the future.
Strongly oppose	Because XX of northern Sydney tells us all to disagree with this	Because XX of northern Sydney demands trains	I don't support either of the two options proposed	XX of northern Sydney demands trains to be brought back
Strongly support	Cycle paths are so greatly needed in this area. Helps to promote a healthy life style.		Option 1 - the north option	I support both options. Anything is better than nothing
Strongly support	Really excited about this. Would love the ride to Mullumbimby. Would use it often.	As far as possible away from cars along the way would be a plus.	Option 1 - the north option	RAIL CORRIDOR yeah, yes please!!!!
Strongly support	Awesome idea! Great health benefits for locals and the potential to increase the economic benefits as well		Option 1 - the north option	

To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?	Please provide any feedback you have about the project	Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway	Which option do you prefer?	Please provide any other comments you'd like to make
Strongly support	Fantastic for our region. Great economic benefits, as well as health, fitness and wellbeing benefits.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	In order to take at least some cars off the road it is essential to build accessible and safe bike paths which are well design and built for the locals to use to travel to/from Brunswick to Mullum and OC. This could become an alternative way to community without relying of public transports which is non-existent. This will also attract different type of tourism which we definitely need in this aeea.		Option 2 - the south option	I do like both options but the south would be more accessible for me.
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	It's such a healthy way to travel and with so many great electric bikes available, more and more people are looking for cycle trails to ride on.		Option 1 - the north option	I support using the current railway line land as it's available and probably won't ever be used for trains again.
Strongly support	I've long been waiting for the Tweed Shire Rail trail to open so residents living at the northern end of Byron Shire can make good use of it. The Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads cycleway route North option will allow those of us residing in Ocean Shores to easily get to and from Mullumbimby without the nagging fear of being taken out by a car. We are absolutely certain to gain real enjoyment from being able to traverse the section at leisure, in safety all the while gaining the health benefits bicycling offers as well as further appreciation of the natural environment.		Option 1 - the north option	The Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads cycleway route North option 1 will allow those of us residing in Ocean Shores to easily get to and from Mullumbimby without the nagging fear of being taken out by a car. We are absolutely certain to gain real enjoyment from being able to traverse the section at leisure, in safety all the while gaining the health benefits bicycling offers as well as further appreciation of the natural environment.
Strongly support	I am already a cyclist but often find the highway too frightening. I would cycle every day to get to work if there were safe paths, also for social reasons. I'm sure there are many like me in the shire, keen on exercise and keen to save money on fuel. When I choose holiday destinations I often consider if they have good cycle paths so I'm sure this would encourage tourists here.		Option 2 - the south option	When is there a plan to connect this area with Byron Bay? This would really be useful for all the reasons you have listed (where we had 3 options).
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	More is needed to connect Billinugel and Ocean shores particularly to Brunswick heads. The road between the two has areas that are not safe to rude or run on and need updating	Great for exersize	Option 1 - the north option	Makes more sense to join billinugel and Ocean shores to brunswick heads and mullumbimby, rather than leave it exclusively between mullum and brunswick heads. There are way more families in ocean shores too (the north route) compared to the south their is way less people it will be close to
Somewhat support			Option 1 - the north option	

**To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?**

**Please provide any feedback you have about the project**

**Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway**

**Which option do you prefer?**

**Please provide any other comments you'd like to make**

Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	I used to cycle from Mullumbimby to Brunswick, with the increase in traffic I don't feel safe anymore and have stopped. I would cycle again on a safe bike path!		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	It would be highly beneficial if it could start at the shopping centre in Ocean Shores.	It should start at ocean shores shopping centre and finish at Woolworths in Mullum.	Option 1 - the north option	I am much more interested in the outcome of a proposal for a footbridge from Ocean Shores to Bruns.
Strongly support	Highly beneficial for the community and local shops if the path could go through ocean shores shopping centre as well as Woolworths in Mullumbimby		Option 2 - the south option	I'd be happy with either option - probably prefer south due to the hilly environment on the north option
Strongly support			Unsure	
Strongly support	Awesome idea		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Would be an amazing project for current residents, tourists and future generations		Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	This can't happen soon enough	Our family would use this path all the time.	Option 1 - the north option	Either of these options are at least something. The option on the road seems less favourable though. As Argyle St and Mullumbimby Road are so busy and I don't feel it would be safe to have children riding on this road with all the traffic.
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Can't wait for this! So keen to start pedaling but don't feel safe without a designated route.	Cycling with the kids on the weekend to visit Bruns!	Unsure	The south option is more convenient for us it goes from our house to Bruns rather directly but I don't know about the part on the road with the cars - that sounds scary.
Strongly support	Option 1		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	It's been a long time coming. Not sure if it's an option to follow the river from Mullum to Bruns. It would have to come back out to Kings Bridge and then back in after.		Option 2 - the south option	

**To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?**

**Please provide any feedback you have about the project**

**Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway**

**Which option do you prefer?**

**Please provide any other comments you'd like to make**

Strongly support	Which is the flattest route? Not everyone can cycle up hills . We need connection options between suburbs because of lack of public transport and experience taxi or uber. What impact will these routes have on existing residential areas? Balemo Drive has become excessively busy since the bike path was done. And also more crime ang young people loitering or causing trouble. All of this needs to be considered before going ahead.	Getting to medical appointments, shopping etc. The shire needs proper transport options that are affordable	Unsure	Inam worried about the noise and safety by bringing people to the area because crime and young hoods are ruining Balemo Drive & the surrounding areas
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	We need more bike paths in our region. Definately between Mullim and Bruns		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Option 2		Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support			Unsure	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	We need a complete circuit not option a or b. We need both	It should be in both directions	Unsure	Why can't we have both
Strongly support	We love cycling and use cycle paths frequently... shared road use is inherently risky. The northern route would allow us to safely cycle to/from Mullum for errands as well as pleasure.	The northern route is very appealing as we live in north Ocean Shores.	Option 1 - the north option	The north route looks much safer - avoiding traffic - as well as being closer to our home!
Strongly support	Both routes eventually would be great.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	I live in Ocean Shores and work in Mullum. I used to ride but after some close misses with vehicles, I no longer do. I would cycle this path multiple times a week if it was available.		Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Should put an electric train 🚂🙏		Option 2 - the south option	Should provide a direct route to Brunswick and seperate direct route to ocean shores

**To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?**

**Please provide any feedback you have about the project**

**Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway**

**Which option do you prefer?**

**Please provide any other comments you'd like to make**

Strongly support	It would be good to have the trail connected along the rail to billinudgel. We are always forgotten in billinudgel and have a major lack of parking. The trail would encourage people to cycle to the town instead of driving	Please connect the trail to billinudgel along the rail. It's too far of a detour to go via balemo drive	Option 1 - the north option	North option with a continue of the trail along the rail to billinudgel
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Safe alternative travel options are vital for our communities.		Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Ideal way to keep fit, be healthy, reduce carbon emissions and cycle safely (with kids) off road.		Option 1 - the north option	Minimal impact and safest option is on the rail corridor. Can link up with the Northern Rivers Rail trail which is progressing in Tweed and Richmond Valley, and under development in Lismore LGA.
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Somewhat support	I do support the project, but the North option needs to include no parking at the Synotts Lane end. The road is already dangerous without people deciding to park there and continue. The actual lane is poorly maintained (mostly done by residents) and increased traffic will be problematic and bring more people.		Unsure	The south option will require less environmental damage as it doesn't require anything near the river. The north option will be unusable during severe wet weather. Synotts Lane routinely goes under water.
Strongly support	Providing pathways is essential. Our area has terrible bike & pedestrian infrastructure.	In south option, Please have it for both bikes and foot. Perhaps use the old tennis court on corner of the terrace and tweed as a bike repair/water stop/shelter/ learn to ride for little people. School children will use it to get from Bayside Bruns to school safely. Perhaps a rest stop	Option 2 - the south option	Thinking of school children and the potential increase in residential properties in Bayside. Those families accessing Bruns.



To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?	Please provide any feedback you have about the project	Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway	Which option do you prefer?	Please provide any other comments you'd like to make
Somewhat oppose	I have lived in ocean shores for 25 year and have witnessed two generations of teenagers suffer immensely from having zero,nothing for them here and no public transport to get to jobs,Tafe etc and they are in trouble. I have witnessed them go by the wayside,suffer mental health, become drug addicts ,some die and this is where council dollars need to go not in offering them an hour bike ride to get a job or go to the skatepark in other suburbs. Want to solve the eshay problem,the breaking ins, the car theft , give them a regular bus to Tafe ,to Byron ,build a skatepark here . This is a dire situation that has been ignored for years and now you want to spend all our money on a bike path first,make it a second priority and look after our kids ASAP ,this is what we want our rates spent on ,our children first and foremost.		Option 1 - the north option	Honestly it has to be north option ,you have to give Ocean Shores something,we have been ignored for too long. At least the teens will have a glimmer of hope for some way out of a barren suburb
Strongly support	Ocean Shores area is neglected in terms of rec options, roads/ track maintenance p, cycleways, safe access to neighbouring areas	It will be used frequently by many	Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Both options would be so amazing to have to do a whole loop! But if only one, then options one. This would be such a great option for locals and better on Brunswick heads traffic and parking, especially in the warmer months!!		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	At long last, let's get this in place as an urgent priority	To access businesses and facilities on route	Option 1 - the north option	And connection to other future cycleway developments
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Push bikes and walking are an important aspect of my exercise routine. I am 62 years old and need to have various options and variation, before my body finally begins to fail		Option 1 - the north option	It would be fabulous to one day join up with the Tweed Rail Trail.
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	I prefer the 1st option that includes Ocean Shores.	A cycle way would be used a lot, the cycle way around ocean Shores is used a lot, since Balemo Drive has been completed. However there needs to be safe options created along Orana drive to be able to connect to balemo as Orana road is too narrow for bikes and cars.	Option 1 - the north option	I feel option 1 would be best for more of the community of Ocean Shores, where most of the population and rate payers live.

**To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?**

**Please provide any feedback you have about the project**

**Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway**

**Which option do you prefer?**

**Please provide any other comments you'd like to make**

Strongly support	The cycle way would provide a safe option for travel for young people in the region.		Option 2 - the south option	The upgrades to Main Arm rd could be used to extend the cycle way from the mullumbimby CBD along Main Arm rd.
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	I've always wanted a cycling track!!		Option 1 - the north option	Way more people need to go through ocean shores and the first route sounds a lot nicer if you wanted to use it for leisure.
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Great for exercise, tourism, relaxing		Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support			Unsure	Both would be good. A circuit
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Somewhat oppose	Cost factor is totally disproportionate. Very few people use the current cycle ways or cycle pathways. When cycle ways were built at Evans Head, nobody used them. As Evs become more popular, they will curb the current interest in bikes. Far better to improve the appalling road network in readiness for the future.	I am too handicapped to ride a bike. For my needs, I would insist on an improvement in the footpaths before spending money on a feel good idea.	I don't support either of the two options proposed	Before making any decision, to make this idea transparent and honest, we must see a costing.
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Somewhat support	Rebuilding the railway for trains would be much more useful but the cycle path is better than not using it at all	Sunday bike rides could be nice	Option 1 - the north option	One that's the least on the main road! The section along the river looks nice.
Strongly support	It's an essential investment in the future safety of our regions cycling community, whether that be for commuting or leisure!		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Unsure	
Strongly support	riding on country roads around here is unsafe and almost nobody wears a helmet. hardly any lights on bikes either. i'm both a cyclist and a driver. anything that can assist getting cyclists off the 80km/h roads is a good thing. being able to ride to the beach with be a great family activity	this area has far too much reliance on cars. cycleways and public transport (including rail) are needed.	Option 2 - the south option	however much i love cycling, using the rail corridor for bikes is a backwards step. i also think a safe way to ride to the industrial area is a good outcome. whatever happened to the plan to do a walking/riding path between the bridge at brunswick valley way and new brighton?
Strongly support	Hugely important to provide a safe off road route between Mullum and Ocean Shores and Brunswick Heads.		Option 1 - the north option	Option 1 is much better.

To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?	Please provide any feedback you have about the project	Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway	Which option do you prefer?	Please provide any other comments you'd like to make
Strongly support	The more cycle ways that can be created to connect our townships, the better.	Having cycled the Central Otago Rail Trail in NZ multiple times I can see the benefits of such cycle ways for both local communities & tourism	Option 1 - the north option	I like that it connects Oceans Shores as well.
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	It is currently VERY unsafe to cycle from Ocean Shores to Mullum. A cycle track must be built for safety.		Unsure	Both options are good. I'd like to see both.
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	It is so needed considering how little public transport there is around and the increasing cost of fuel plus it will be a fab way to get exercise and support local business x		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	Both would be best
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Bring it on - and soon please! :)	Helping kids develop their confidence riding bikes and building their fitness!	Option 1 - the north option	Off road seems to be preferable as I want to ride this path with kids and their safety is pretty important!
Strongly support	Bring back rail transportation		Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	I live in Ocean Shores and it is too dangerous to ride a bike via the Coolaman scenic drive. I totally support more safe Cycle ways.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Certainly needed, its crazy that in a time of climate change there is no safe way to get off our cars onto bikes and eBikes. Getting a bike trail on the old railway line would be more useful - but doubt that will happen for the next 20 years given the anti-bike pro-train lobby. Prefer a short route Mull<>Bruns, but I can't see from the map whether the proposed route has a big hill to get over to join saddle road, or only joins where its flatter. Obviously the latter would preferable to support those of us not in quite as great shape.		Option 2 - the south option	I'm worried the north route is too long to be a viable route from Bruns <> Mullum, its more like a Ocean Shores <> Mullum. and a separate Ocean Shores <> Bruns path; I'm worried the southern route has to go over the hill at Saddle Road (its unclear from the map)
Strongly support	Fantasy initiative		Option 1 - the north option	Needs to be safe for children to travel on their bikes.

To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?	Please provide any feedback you have about the project	Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway	Which option do you prefer?	Please provide any other comments you'd like to make
Somewhat support	What's the point of stopping it at Ocean shores at the top of a hill? Why not connect it to sgb and Nb? I'm all over a bike trail but come on where is the actual though processes? Where's our public transport for the north of the shire in tandem? Where's our train from billi to Byron? Why do we always get forgotten? This feels half assed and not thoroughly funded.	It needs to be in tandum with public transport. I find all of these initiatives so short sighted. I have told you over and over again, stop navel gazing at other parts of Australia as if the public transport here isn't ott \$\$\$ and useless for all involved. We need trains or dedicated bus routes that avoid the clogged roads with bike racks like they do in Canada. Like come on, I've never seen such bad planning until I came to Australia. So if you do this and I support it, plan it properly and not some useless bike path that only goes part way. We need innovation and emphasis and improving rate payer's and working people's lives not just the rich Sydneysiders and tourists.	Option 1 - the north option	Choosing only 3 options for the question before? And now only 2 options? The map isn't clear enough to show it's going to balemo drive.
Strongly support	I support the rail trail option 1 route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores. The option 1 route will have a far better ease of use for ALL the users of this great new adventure. Gone will be the days of riding ones bike on the roads and hoping oncoming traffic are not on their phones or they don't see you, we all have been there. Bring it on asap. I know this will be a fantastic asset for now and future generations. It surely will bring a much needed boost to surrounding businesses along the way.		Option 1 - the north option	I support the rail trail option 1 route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores. The option 1 route will have a far better ease of use for ALL the users of this great new adventure. Gone will be the days of riding ones bike on the roads and hoping oncoming traffic are not on their phones or they don't see you, we all have been there. Bring it on asap. I know this will be a fantastic asset for now and future generations. It surely will bring a much needed boost to surrounding businesses along the way.
Strongly support	It's too dangerous to currently cycle by road		Option 2 - the south option	More direct route from B mullum to Bruns
Strongly support	The shire need more safe routes for cyclists as a transport option. We need this kind of infrastructure all over the shire.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Great transport option for families and those without a car. Also energy efficient.		Option 1 - the north option	Option 1 makes most sense due to the high population of ocean shores and south golden beach.
Strongly oppose	Byron shire has plenty of built cycle ways that are never used	Please take a look at the cycle ways we already have and see how they are not used	I don't support either of the two options proposed	Cycle ways are not used by cyclists
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	Safer option 1
Strongly support	Sounds like a great idea	With the invention of ebikes this would provide a safe and sustainable way to commute to work from Ocean shores to Mullumbimby	Option 1 - the north option	As I live in Ocean shores this is the option I would prefer
Strongly support	This will be a great alternative to driving short distances		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support		Going shopping	Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	I think this project is a great idea and a great way for kids to safely get to the beach		Option 1 - the north option	

**To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?**

**Please provide any feedback you have about the project**

**Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway**

**Which option do you prefer?**

**Please provide any other comments you'd like to make**

Strongly support	Best idea		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	This is important in many ways. Cycling and cycle ways going in all around this area		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			I don't support either of the two options proposed	The path should use the rail alignment and the route should be part of the complete rail trail.
Strongly support	A wonderful way to provide safe cycling connections between the two towns		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Minimal natural habitat disruption should be strongly preferred - it appears option 1 would have the least impact needing less disruptive work done to natural areas?		Option 1 - the north option	Appears they have the least ecological distribution
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	Connecting to Balemo Drive would be great as the footpath is fantastic and Balemo connects to North & South Ocean Shores, South Golden Beach and Billinudgel. It opens up a few route options.
Somewhat support	Existing roads are very dangerous in places and I would not want my children using them		Option 1 - the north option	
Somewhat support	Would be useful and would support tourism I guess...	Would be good for well being and for safer cycling..	Option 1 - the north option	I chose this option because it has a more lovely scenic route and U r closer to civilisation... I think
Strongly support	About bloody time		Option 1 - the north option	Rail corridor is flood free. Cane fields will be out of action if climate gets wetter
Strongly support	We are avid bike riders on our 60s but are uncomfortable on roads. Would use the new cycles ways often.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	We need more safe walking and biking paths - and footpaths, in the north of the shire.		Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	On the PDF option B looks like by far the nicest route but i understand perhaps difficult to do. The north route looks like it would be the nicer path to take as the terrain appears to be nicer	I would use it to cycle to Brunz and i imagine it would help connect the two towns and create a tourist attraction.	Option 1 - the north option	Definitely the north option but a view to add the option along the river in future :)
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	A safe way to ride from Brunswick Heads to Mullum would be a huge addition to the area. It would be used every day by a lot of people.		Option 1 - the north option	

**To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?**

**Please provide any feedback you have about the project**

**Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway**

**Which option do you prefer?**

**Please provide any other comments you'd like to make**

Strongly support	It would greatly enhance movement between the areas and offer a fun, healthy way to commute in our shire. Myself, my husband and family would all use it if it becomes available.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	It's an amazing idea. People from all 3 communities travel between them so connecting them this was would be amazing		Option 1 - the north option	People from south golden beach and ocean shores will be more likely to use the north route. It connect more communities
Strongly support	It very important that council does all it can to remove cars from our roads, safe cycleways will do this.	It's an exciting prospect to be able to ride safely to Mullum from Ocean Shores, at the moment I refuse to do so, the road from Bruns to Mullum is too dangerous as is Coolamon Scenic Drive from Ocean Shores to Mullum.	Option 1 - the north option	The existing cycleway from Orana Road up and over the hill to the STP intersection is dangerous, it has not been maintained well, there is grass growing over the cycle symbol on the path, I walk and ride this path weekly, it's very dangerous especially on the corner when I am less than a metre from cars. If it get more use it will only be a matter of time before there is a fatality.
Strongly support	Perfect		Option 1 - the north option	Makes sense. Nicer ride too
Strongly support	Nothing rout		Option 1 - the north option	Works better for the large ocean shores south golden billinudgel residents
Strongly support			Unsure	A circuit comprising both options would be ideal!
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	Allows connection to murwillumba via new bike path
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	The whole of the North End of the Shire should be connected. We should be able to walk/ride from Brunswick Heads to Mullumbimby Ocean Shores and back to Brunswick Heads. It's about time money was spend on the North End of the Shire	There should be exercise apparatus at certain points and picnic tables for resting.	Option 1 - the north option	I want the two to join the three respective towns.
Strongly support	Wonderful initiative.  It may also provide a cycle track for the bike trail enthusiasts which wish to remove the old rail line.		Unsure	Whatever option DOES NOT affect the rail line infrastructure.
Strongly support			Unsure	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Option 1 preferred.	Option 2 on roadway is dangerous.	Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	amazing idea! make it look pretty :)		Option 1 - the north option	i think either are good for different reasons



To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?	Please provide any feedback you have about the project	Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway	Which option do you prefer?	Please provide any other comments you'd like to make
Strongly support	What a wonderful idea. I support either option but probably prefer the option 2	It would save me driving my car to Brunswick and allow my kids to go to the beach on their own	Unsure	I am totally undecided. Probably would like the option which has the least elevation
Somewhat support	But I would support a solar train that connects the region way more	I live in the middle of Ocean Shores. I can't see it being of personal use, as to even get out of OS to where the cycleway starts on a bike, with all the hills, is barely doable.	Option 1 - the north option	I think there is way more value in Option 1, as it connects Ocean Shores to Mullum and Bruns, which Option 2 does not.
Somewhat support	I support the south option, and I oppose the removal of any rail infrastructure to construct the cycleway		Option 2 - the south option	I do not support removing railway infrastructure to create a cycle way.
Strongly support	Option B all the way. Most attractive/level/pretty/interesting/shady/less impact on Aboriginal sites. Having said that - It's pretty obvious that the local community would use this amenity. It's waaaaay too dangerous to cycle by the roadway and I would never consider it these days. [use to in the early 90's]. It would also be a cool touristy thing - and then a business for someone to hire out bikes..etc... Kids/teens need this too		Option 1 - the north option	What's happened to Option B -?
Strongly support	Well overdue		Option 2 - the south option	The faster route would be better
Strongly support	Would love the rail trail connecting Mullumbimby to Billinudgel with spots to get off at Ocean Shores and Brunswick Heads. Would love this rail trail to connect to the Tweed rail trail. I would ride to work on a regular basis from Billinudgel to Mullumbimby. It feels unsafe at the moment riding to Mullumbimby along the current roads on a bike.		Option 1 - the north option	NEED TO BUILD A RAIL TRAIL TO MEET THE TWEED RAIL TRAIL. Some cyclist would like a longer ride. Long term you would hope that the rail trail could meet the Tweed rail trail and then boost tourism in the north of the shire. I think you need to think beyond Mullumbimby/Brunswick Heads/ Ocean Shores and think bigger picture. Greater impact in terms of recreation, health, tourism, safety, etc. I have colleagues I work with who ride from Pottsville to Mullumbimby multiple times per week to get to work.
Strongly support	Strongly support on multiple grounds: 1) currently no safe route to cycle/walk between Mullum and Bruns, 2) encouraging cycling decongests both CBDs as well as being more environmentally friendly 3) attracts a type of tourist that the Byron Shire wants more of - tourists who want to explore at a slower pace the Shire, especially away from Byron township thus assisting the smaller towns/villages in the Shire. Even better if links to a rail trail	Simply to go shopping from Brunswick for items available in Mullumbimby. Save on fuel and parking pressure	Option 1 - the north option	Would also like to propose 3rd route -STH along rail corridor, transfer to Greys Lane and then along fire access trail behind dunes to enter Brunswick next to surf club. Longer but flatter and more away from any traffic
Strongly support	Will be fantastic. It would be wise to join it up with the Tweed Shire rail trail. Then it will really be something and good transport options for many	If it joined to the Tweed Shire rail trail I could ride to work from Stokers Siding to work in Mullumbimby.	Option 1 - the north option	Potential to link up with Tweed Rail trail and more access for families in Ocean Shores
Strongly support	We are years behind other shires in this regard and needs immediate action		Option 2 - the south option	I think they both should be installed

**To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?**

**Please provide any feedback you have about the project**

**Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway**

**Which option do you prefer?**

**Please provide any other comments you'd like to make**

Strongly oppose	Council cannot maintain it's road now without adding more infrastructure. It will be like ewingsdale to byron nobody uses it still ride on the road		I don't support either of the two options proposed	
Strongly support	That it be accessible to more than just avid cyclists, ie. entry level cyclists	If it is accessible to those who are not athletes	Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	As long as safe from traffic
Somewhat support			Option 1 - the north option	But alongside rail
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Absolutely need need dedicated bike trails for safety reasons as well as recreational - would very much like both north and south trails so there is a loop trail.		Option 1 - the north option	I support both options so there is a loop
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	It would be such a positive outcome for the whole community		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Great to have cycle route! Hope there will be many more in the future.		Option 1 - the north option	Best option would be to have them both, makes a great circle!
Strongly support	This would be great, we have an ebike that I would ride to work (Brunz to Mullum) if there was a cycle path - at the moment the road is just too risky in the 'rush hour'.	Great idea, just do it and soon	Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	We have a vibrant community that care for the environment, are physically active, family oriented and it is reasonably flat ride it would be a wast not to utilise cycle ways. It wld also bring family oriented, health conscious tourists.		Unsure	Which ever is the safest route and most scenic. For me it would depend on target market. Tourism? The most scenic, away from roads and traffic so families can ride it. Ideally for me it would be where there were old railway lines so you cld put coffee shops in the beautiful old rail stations. So we could make a day of it.
Strongly support	We need bike paths in Byron shire. I was fitter in the city when I could walk and cycle everywhere. Now I have to drive as there are no decent bike paths. More bike paths soon please!		Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	I prefer the Northern route. It connects Ocean Shores directly with Bruns and Mullum. Plus it will be a prettier route. I live in Ocean and love the ideal of cycling through to Mullum with me kids, as well as to Bruns (which is pretty doable now).		Option 1 - the north option	

To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?	Please provide any feedback you have about the project	Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway	Which option do you prefer?	Please provide any other comments you'd like to make
Neutral	I think the project is needed but we also need the trains to return. It would be great to see council lobbying hard and consistently to the state & / or federal gov. to reinstate public transport. Cycleways are a given - yes we should have them - but trains as public transport and linking us directly to Sydney in the south and Brisbane in the north is essential	I live in the mountains of Byron Shire so for me to use the cycleways I would need to put a bike in the car, drive to Mullum, cycle somewhere and put the bike back in the car and drive back to the hills. It's great and essential for those people who live in town to have safe cycleways but bring back our trains	Option 2 - the south option	I think the rail corridor should be preserved and reinstated and that the 2nd option should only be allowed if the spraying of poisons in the cane fields are stopped completely so cyclists aren't riding through toxic fields
Strongly support	Safety for cyclists /connecting these communities/ environmentally green	I cycled from Ocean Shores to Mullumbimby las week but found it a very dangerous experience on the road. A safe alternative route would support cyclists and get cars off the road.	Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	It would be awesome.		Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	We need a safe and sustainable option away from busy roads to ride to the beach.	Ride to the beach	Option 1 - the north option	Both would be best
Strongly support	This needs to happen badly There is not even a footpath in most parts of Mullum I have lived in Argyle street for 35 years still No footpath At least give our grandkids some where safe to ride		Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	why on earth don't you use the existing railway corridor!! ??Makes much more sense as its all there already and can then connect up to Murwillambah as well to the Tweed rail trail thats is happening.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Get it done. Rail trail is happening Tweed and Lismore Shires, Ballinas bike path plans are great as well. You are the link that will join it all together, don't stuff it up		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	there is a real absence of public transport available and we are in real need of safe pathways for bikes in our region! It is also good for health and to get families and kids active in a safe way!	I have been waiting for this for years!!	Option 1 - the north option	Option 1 will enable more people from more suburbs to use it. Option 2 only really helps bruns people to get to mullum. Option 1 supports ocean shores as well as bruns and mullum get between 3 places

To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?	Please provide any feedback you have about the project	Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway	Which option do you prefer?	Please provide any other comments you'd like to make
Strongly support	Safe family friendly recreational and exercise options are of vital importance to community health. Cycling is also a sustainable transport option and providing safe options to do so even for less experienced cyclist is very important.	Enhances our regular camping stays at Brunswick heads with safe and sustainable transport option to Mullum.	Option 1 - the north option	Using the rail corridor makes sense on many fronts, including being off-road and obvious synergies with the Northern Rivers Rail Trail. Ideally though, both options would be developed to provide a full loop and thus attractive loop cycle route that is an attraction in its own right as well.
Strongly support	What a great and much needed idea improving transport links and tourism opportunities	Show off our beautiful area	Option 1 - the north option	Keep it off road please. Much safer and more accessible for all.
Strongly support	I think this is a wonderful project that will allow people, children and adults, to cycle safely from Mullum to Bruns. As a child and young adult in Canada I rode my bike a lot and loved it, and I feel Byron Shire needs more paths that are safe for users. Also encourages less cars.		Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	A safe cycle friendly alternative to driving between Mullumimby and Brunswick Heads is needed. However taking over the rail corridor is not ideal as it will take away any future possibilities of getting a train service back again to service the larger community who are not easily able to cycle long distances. In regards to the proposed 2 options I ask why a 3rd option has not been considered. The 3rd option would be to follow along side the Brunswick river between the two towns. This would be a far more pleasant and safe journey, avoiding the mix between cars and bicycles. It would also be far more pleasant and picturesque with the river vista and landscape to enjoy along the way and also it would be a much easier gradient to cycle along as it would be mostly flat as it follows the general path of the river between towns		I don't support either of the two options proposed	Both options seem to be a long way around and will be difficult for the average cyclist to tackle the hills they will encounter. A third option that follows along the river as much as possible staying close by it will be a more direct, mostly level and picturesque path between the two towns
Strongly support	I support any bicycle infrastructure for the shire		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	I like Option 2 as well.
Strongly support	I'd really love all this to move forward and connect up to the tweed rail trail		Unsure	Why not both?
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Not sure either of the two options are the best option, there are other options and it is essential that it is a SEPERATE cycle way all the way. Needs linking up with the rail corridor for a possible future rail trail.	So overdue. I would use it a lot. It is so dangerous to ride between Mullum and Bruns as it is.	I don't support either of the two options proposed	The original report included 6 options, why only two options here? I strongly support at SAFE purpose build cycleway NOT on the roads, and linked with the rail corridor to assess a future rail trail to Byron and beyond.

**To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?**

**Please provide any feedback you have about the project**

**Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway**

**Which option do you prefer?**

**Please provide any other comments you'd like to make**

Strongly support	I would be a regular user of such a cycle-way. If the two options were put place (a dream) this would be a great tourist circuit and I allow more accommodation options during the holioday seasons.  However, if I was forced to choose, I would prefer the Ocean Shores option. TO me the large population that this would serve would be the deciding factor, as well as allow a start to be made on the long awaited rail- cycle corridor.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	I think both options would be great
Strongly support	Route 1 will include Ocean Shores which is desirable for me.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Would be fantastic !!!!		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Yes! This is a fantastic idea and would be used so much by the community. I have done many bike rides over the years however riding on the local roads became too dangerous.	I would use it for fitness and family outings	Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Makes cycling fun and safe for the young ones		Option 1 - the north option	
Somewhat oppose	In my opinion, Byron Shire Council has spent some years looking to utilize the rail corridor for multiple use including a tram or train - this is urgently needed. The Shire does NOT HAVE adequate public transport and also Byron has enormous traffic problems which will increase massively with the West Byron development. We Need Public Transport on the train line. Thanks.		Option 2 - the south option	I am very concerned that the rail corridor be used for rail or tram - if a bike track can go along side without disturbing the tracks then that would be a sensible idea otherwise it must go to Option 2
Strongly support	Given the state of the planet and the need to conserve fossil fuels any bicycle paths are imperative.	It would build a bond between the two towns without clogging either with more traffic	Option 1 - the north option	Utilizing existing railway infrastructure make the most sense. And offers an amazing tourist riding opportunity though beautiful country
Strongly support	North option please!!		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Sounds great. I'm about to buy a bike so I'm thrilled. Either option sounds good to me.		Option 1 - the north option	I live in SGB and would like to be able to access the cycle route easily.
Strongly support	I enjoy riding and would ride there for work mitigating the need for the car during the week.		Option 1 - the north option	

To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?	Please provide any feedback you have about the project	Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway	Which option do you prefer?	Please provide any other comments you'd like to make
Strongly support	Strongly feel the north option makes more sense as it connects Ocean shores (big population) into this whole equation and still satisfies the Bruns population. The other way doesn't really..	Potential for it to be used for events like parkrun possibly if it's a multi purpose path	Option 1 - the north option	North option would mean the big oceans shores population are included.
Strongly support	Great idea. It would be fantastic to have a safe way of getting between the towns using peddle power.		Option 1 - the north option	I absolutely love the idea of being able to ride to mullum from bruns and ocean shores and the possibility of connecting on the proposed rail trail to Byron
Strongly support	Been needed for years		Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Prefer south option vi Mullumbimy Rd		Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	People power in line with our councils strategy already in play at Suffolk Park to Byron .... Healthy green and community	Needs to be able to connect with adjoining shires planned and under construction cycle strategy's	Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	I like plan A at this stage. There is no safe way to cycle to Mullum from Ocean Shores.	It's an alternative to using gas guzzlers	Option 1 - the north option	This may be the quietest option with less car traffic around
Strongly support	There are already many cyclists doing this route to some extent but on unsafe roads. Making this route more safe will encourage many others to use it . This cycle ways should also connect other town such as Byron Bay and Myocum		Option 1 - the north option	All the rail lines should be used as cycle ways/walking tracks. These tracks are low gradient and already exist
Strongly support	Just pure excitement over this proposal.	Did I mention how excited I am with this proposal?	Option 1 - the north option	Even more excited about Option 1.
Strongly support	This has been something we have wanted as a community for over 25 years. My children have grown up riding the dangerous road from Mullum to Uncle Toms. Mullum teenagers and families would benefit immensely from this, not to mention the perk of having less cars in Brunswick during the summer season!	It is important to include some rest stops and shade along the way and to consider that a lot of people would use this as a walking trail. Also solar powered lights along the track for night time use are very important otherwise this could become a very dangerous activity.	Option 2 - the south option	We need to consider safety and the south option is more accessible and is less isolated. Also need to consider that some people may use the cycleway at night.
Strongly support	The path from Mullumbimby through Ocean Shores to Brunswick Heads would be the best option for the community. Would mean people could commute to work between Ocean Shores and Mullumbimby.		Option 1 - the north option	The north option will mean far more people from Ocean Shores, South Golden and New Brighton will be able to access the path and commute to Mullumbimby. This will be super positive and healthy for children in the area who go to school in Mullumbimby.
Neutral	Would prefer rail.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support		Shopping	Option 2 - the south option	How ever due to population density 2 may make more sense
Strongly support	Route 1		Option 1 - the north option	



**To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?**

**Please provide any feedback you have about the project**

**Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway**

**Which option do you prefer?**

**Please provide any other comments you'd like to make**

Strongly support	Please build this!!		Unsure	It would helpful to know which of these routes is flattest and safest?
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Somewhat support			Option 1 - the north option	As long as the route does not interfere with peoples private property and there business
Strongly support	would love to see both projects undertaken, however feel that Ocean Shores could do with more bike tracks and facilities linking the community to Mullumbimby.		Option 1 - the north option	
Somewhat oppose			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	My 4 children and I have been hoping for a safe route to ride our bikes from mullum to bruns for years. My sons spend all their weekends in bruns but I have never let them ride as the road is very unsafe. Please, please make this a reality in the not distant future. This would be a game changer in our lives and our health		Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support			Unsure	
Strongly support	gr8 idea - long overdue. Also move on converting as much of the redundant rail corridor to cycle way ASAP . E'bikes are a game changer on top of rail always following the most easy grades. Cycle way linkages will be an economic boom for the area on top of all the well understood 'sustainability' benefits. Then change the planning instruments to support tourism infrastructure along the corridor; art trail, solar e charging stations and lighting for security as needed powered, hospitality businesses and connecting with the local indigenous story etc. So many global case stories of success with some great close to home examples in NZ. What are we scared off !! Seize the day and see down the NIMBY's. Thanks XX- XX PS I would ride to work (XX) - bit much by just pedal but e'bikes are a total game changer	se comments above	Option 1 - the north option	opens up the north - purely selfish - gets me closer to home at XX
Strongly support	I have wanted to ride safely on this route for decades. Let's get this happening. Full support.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Option 1 is my strong preference as it also links the large population base in Ocean Shores		Option 1 - the north option	Connects the large population base in Ocean Shores better

To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?	Please provide any feedback you have about the project	Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway	Which option do you prefer?	Please provide any other comments you'd like to make
Strongly support	absolutely necessary and urgent!		Option 1 - the north option	
Somewhat support			Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	The community desperately need this critical infrastructure to link affordable housing options to business centers and places of education. Especially those who suffer from disabilities, or are too young to drive and those that can't afford a vehicle.	E-bikes and mobility scooters could be used instead of cars on such infrastructure if it was available.	Option 1 - the north option	The north option better utilizes existing infrastructure and provides much better access to the large populations north of the Brunswick River.
Strongly support	Please don't let Ocean Shores be the poor cousin that is left unlinked. Option 1 links three (or more) communities.	Visiting libraries; going shopping; dining out. Leaving the car at home!	Option 1 - the north option	<p>The North Option also links up with Ocean Shores and provides access for this community as well.</p> <p>The Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads cycleway route South option 2 has the highest cost, the longest travel time, the most intersections and includes the steepest gradient: 14% over 400m. This is very steep and very long and would ensure that the route would be usable by none but the fittest and most experienced of riders. This is so even with the provision of switchbacks which would require the resumption/easement of multiple private land holdings.</p> <p>The purpose of the cycleway is to connect communities. Option 2 may have been assessed as the quickest to deliver but that is by no means certain and is of no use if the route is unusable for the vast majority of residents.</p> <p>The Multi-Criteria Analysis (MCA) in the report has 6 criteria and gives User Experience the lowest weighting of all at 5%. The User Experience rating for the North route is highest at 5/5 while the South Route is 3/5. Again, not much point in developing the South route with a less than desirable user experience that means fewer community members will choose (or be able) to use it.</p> <p>Please consult with Bicycle NSW on cycling infrastructure. I am a member they have a lot of experience and knowledge and their contribution would go a long way in making the cycling experience more user friendly for all members of the community: young, old and differently abled.</p> <p>The impact of Option 1 on koala habitat should not be overstated. A cycle path would have far less impact than any road etc.</p>
Strongly support	<p>I am a cyclist who never cycles for fear of being knocked off my bike - we just dont have good cycling infrastructure to support cyclists.</p> <p>I also think you need to be considering Ocean Shores to Bruns to Mullum. The current cycle path along Brunswick Valley Way between Rajah &amp; Orana Rd is poorly maintained - fallen branches and leaves all the way</p>		Option 2 - the south option	But I think the path needs to extend to Ocean Shores (or even Billinudgell) . It is absolutely absurd not to. It has the biggest population.

**To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?**

**Please provide any feedback you have about the project**

**Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway**

**Which option do you prefer?**

**Please provide any other comments you'd like to make**

Strongly support	It would be a great thing for the local community to have this bike path. To get people together, keep fit and great for families.		Option 1 - the north option	Both of them would be a better option for everyone in the community.
Somewhat oppose	The money proposed for this project would be better spent fixing our disgraceful roads. We are an embarrassment to visitors welcome to the POTHOLE Shire Let's get the fundamental infrastructure fixed properly not patched up. Byron Shire Council need a lesson from the Ballina Shire and even the Tweed Shire.		I don't support either of the two options proposed	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	What ever you do do it quickly. Utilize the rail track and make the most of our beautiful countryside!	Riding my bike to the surf in Brunz	Option 1 - the north option	I would like the rail trail to go all the way from Murwillumbah to Casino with spurs along the way to Byron Bay, Tyagrah, Suffolk and Ballina
Strongly support	Feel this route would be invaluable for locals, including children.		Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	option 2 in my opinion is better, otherwise people who want to go MULLum to Bruns have to go via Ocean shores		Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	Make it both options so it's a loop	Travelling through from Murwillumbah to Coorabell	Option 1 - the north option	Let's go really crazy and make it a loop
Strongly support	Much needed as all current routes from Brunswick Heads to Mullumbimby are extremely dangerous.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	I don't own a car and would love to be able to ride my bike to Brunswick Heads beach. It would actually change my life and I would feel a lotore independent.		Option 2 - the south option	I hope this will happen.
Strongly support	cycling is so pleasurable, great for older folk who may not be able to walk such a distance between towns, is also a wonderful way to hear, see, feel country.	something i've wanted for the last 15 years of living in the shire as the roads are now sooooooo busy, its dangerous to ride a bike.	Unsure	I'd prefer a route along the brunswick river - quiet, easy to ride, away from the hurly burly of dangerous fast traffic and noise. Something to see, enjoy, follow the natural cycles that happen with each season - beauty, wildlife, perhaps some indigenous stories about place educating riders about why each place is precious, and indigenous names too.
Strongly support	Families, individuals and low income non-drivers will benefit greatly from the improved access, the recreation and the exercise.		Option 1 - the north option	I have explored option 1 with my elderly mother in her 70's and she loves it!
Strongly support	Well done Council! This is the type of project that connects communities in a sustainable and healthy way. Just what we need right now!		Unsure	need more information on both options. eg length and grades of each

**To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?**

**Please provide any feedback you have about the project**

**Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway**

**Which option do you prefer?**

**Please provide any other comments you'd like to make**

Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	There are alot of us along Coolamon Scenic drive that want to be able to access the beach without having to first drive to town to then access the bikeway. Would be great to get on half way and access town via bike.
Strongly support	I ride everyday as I don't drive. The roads in all these areas aren't that safe. People do not look out for bike riders and go super fast in the back roads. It's very dangerous trying to ride between these towns due to the terrible state of the roads. A huge percentage of people ride ebike a now & it's brilliant for fitness. I got hit and run in byron on bangalow road 2007, died and came back to life etc but now they have fixed some of the roads with bike lanes. It's must safer to ride to Suffolk park from sunrise. Byron bike paths need more work too. A bike path connection with good lighting would be amazing for the mullum ocean shores community. People might decide to ride to work instead if drive. Great for the environment and peoples health and well-being. At the moment it's not safe to ride between these areas.	We need safe bike paths connecting our community creating a brilliant tourism opportunity and promoting well being and connection in our communities. The bus services are very limited and don't run on Sundays or public holidays between mullum and ocean shores. We need more buses on Sundays and public holidays too. It's very frustrating relying on buses if you can ride your bike!	Option 1 - the north option	It makes sense to utilise the rail corridor if it's not impacting the eco systems etc. it's already set up.
Strongly support	This would be great for the community to be able to cycle safely to Brunswick Heads.		Option 2 - the south option	I believe that option 2 would be used more and would need less maintenance than option 1
Strongly support			Unsure	
Strongly support	The road from Mullum to Brtuns is so dangerous for walkers and/or bikers - a path is so overdue.		Option 2 - the south option	It would be fantastic to have both routes so that you can do a round trip and tke in all the scenery
Strongly support	So needed, the roads are not safe		Option 1 - the north option	

**To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?**

**Please provide any feedback you have about the project**

**Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway**

**Which option do you prefer?**

**Please provide any other comments you'd like to make**

Strongly support	Cycling between Ocean Shores/Brunswick Heads and Mullumbimby is currently very dangerous. There is no safe route. I ride along the main road into Mullumbimby from Saddle Rd and it is terrifying because it is so narrow. Providing a safe route will encourage more people to cycle.		Option 2 - the south option	Whilst Option 1 would be most scenic, it would have significantly higher environmental impacts than Option 2, including impacts on wetland, koala and mitchells rainforest snail potential habitat. I think the environmental constraints would make it far harder to achieve, and take much longer. So my preference would be Option 2. However, it would be ideal if you could provide access to this route for all Ocean Shores residents too. Currently cycling along Brunswick Valley Way doesn't feel safe as traffic is very fast. If there was a better defined bike riders lane along here that would help significantly. I don't think steep sections will put people off. If you are comfortable cycling this distance you usually have a bike with a few gears on it. Steep hills = good views so it's worth the effort. While I don't support Option 1 due to the environmental impacts east of Synotts Lane, I do support Council pursuing developing a walk/bike track along the rail corridor in future.
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Somewhat support	I would like council to finish the cycleway in ocean shores along Orana Road as promised after they did the Balemo Drive section this would take away having to go up a very steep hill on Brunswick valley way so when would council be finishing off ocean shores		Option 1 - the north option	But instead of travelling up a steep Brunswick Valley Way going thru Ocean Shores along Orana Road to Rajah road but of course this section is still not finished
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Would promote healthy activity and bring the communities closer together.	Going to the gym.	Option 1 - the north option	The south option doesn't include Ocean Shores.
Strongly support	I regularly travel from Ocean Shores to Mullumbimby, but after a few close shaves with aggressive drivers I will no longer ride on roads. I would love a cycle path. I do this journey approx 3 times a week		Option 1 - the north option	Either option is good. I chose north option as I live in Ocean Shores
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	

To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?

Please provide any feedback you have about the project

Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway

Which option do you prefer?

Please provide any other comments you'd like to make

Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	It would be amazing to include as much scenic route as possible to make this enjoyable not just for transport.		Option 1 - the north option	Whichever option is more picturesque, off road and safer, easier ride.
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	Purely selfish, closer to where I live.
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support		The 2 options proposed seem to in sections take an alignment where safety/CPTED could become issues ie through canefields. A safer option would be to provide the alignment adjacent to the road network ensuring both visibility from passing motorists and the option for lighting.	I don't support either of the two options proposed	I support Councils base option in the original PAMP - the alignment along mullumbimby Rd into Gulgan Rd. Both other options provide far too much off-road alignment which will not provide good CPTED outcomes. Also having 2 transport corridors ie bike/Ped and vehicles together (but appropriately separated) in the one alignment offers greater resilience and long term cost benefits. Also note the other options 1 and 2 seem dependant on 3rd party property acquisitions to complete the alignment and thus risk deliverability.
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	High priority		Option 2 - the south option	Keep the track away from the fast flowing cars and trucks
Strongly support	Love cycling with my kids		Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	I would definitely use it! I don't cycle from Mullum to Bruns now because it's too dangerous. I think it would be a great idea from an environmental and health perspective.		Unsure	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	Wish there was one from Mullum to Main Arm
Strongly support	Public transport between Mullumbimby and Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores is very poor. The roads are far too dangerous to ride a bike but these villages are good bike riding distances apart. Travel between these towns is restricted to cars and therefore car owners and drivers. The youth, elderly, and others who do not have access to a car are often stranded and reliant on others or a poor public transport system. Bike paths between these towns (and Byron, Bangalow, ) would reduce cars on the road, increase accessibility, increase safety, decrease parking issues, increase tourism, increase general level of fitness and health among the population!		Option 1 - the north option	Both look like very good options.



**To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?**

**Please provide any feedback you have about the project**

**Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway**

**Which option do you prefer?**

**Please provide any other comments you'd like to make**

Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	This is more practical. And more direct, resulting in higher use. It also links in with hte existing bike path to Ocean Shores.
Strongly support	We would prefer the northern option as it seems to traverse a more scenic route which we would enjoy cycling through.	We would prefer the northern option as it seems to traverse a more scenic route which we would enjoy cycling through.	Option 1 - the north option	We would prefer the northern option as it seems to traverse a more scenic route which we would enjoy cycling through.
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Great news!! Option 1 please for ocean shores		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	This is something strongly needed for the forgotten communities north of byron centre.		Option 1 - the north option	You can't seriously consider the South option, more people live in the ocean shores catchment than any other community in the shire. You would be neglecting these constituents once again.
Strongly support	Avoiding the need for sharing a cycleway with vehicles is essential. The idea of cycling on any roads out of Mullumbimby is fraught with danger.	The cycleway has strong potential to be very popular and is something the community needs. The only constraint is that the current cycleways are not well maintained so this needs to be factored into the planning.	Option 1 - the north option	Option 1 is more aligned with my needs because of its location but it is also more appealing because it stays off roads and uses the rail corridor.
Strongly support	Love the idea. The main issue with living in ocean shores is having to drive EVERYWHERE. To be able to ride a bike would be amazing.  We also need footpaths in ocean shores! It's a real outrage. I drive past kids and teenagers and mums with prams having to walk on the road as they have no other choice. They are often in dark clothes. It's a massive safety issue. This needs to be rectified ASAP. As well as the safety aspect, Footpaths would completely change the dynamic of the Byron shires most populated suburb (ocean shores).		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	I like option 1 via balemo drive.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	more bike paths for the Community can only be a good thing!		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Bring it on. I want to come up and visit to ride on it.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	Both would be amazing

**To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?**

**Please provide any feedback you have about the project**

**Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway**

**Which option do you prefer?**

**Please provide any other comments you'd like to make**

Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	Option one seems to connect more towns. Second option misses Ocean Shores, Sth Golden Beach. We need connectivity in the North of the Shire as we seem to get forgotten. (Unless it's a music festival and we get all the impact and no benefit).
Strongly oppose	Have you consulted with the property owners that you plan to go through??		I don't support either of the two options proposed	
Strongly support	Increasing cycling infrastructure is vital in reducing carbon emissions and car congestion		Option 2 - the south option	I assume idea is to use the tracks of the rail corridor. I dont support this. Rail offers a far better long-term serious transport option for the whole community.
Strongly support	Do both, it will make a pleasant round trip. We also need to link Byron Bay with Bruns by developing the track behind the dunes.	As ebikes get cheaper a cycle network becomes very useful for residents and visitors.	Unsure	Do both
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Option 1 please		Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	So needed!! I cycle from Ocean Shores to Mullum regularly and it's so dangerous!!! Particularly the road into a Mullum from Uncle Toms!!		Option 1 - the north option	Where is the along the river option??
Strongly support	My family have waited 20 years for this obvious improvement. I used to be on the strategic planning committee at Mullum council it was a major priority back then!		Option 2 - the south option	Route 2 an easier ride
Strongly support	Way overdue; as a bicyclist riding on the roads feels very unsafe. As I'm a long term resident in the shire and aging I'd like a safe way to go to and from these areas.		Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	Great idea !	It will save me using my car for the journey	Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	I'd love to have a safe bike path for families, option 1 looks best for our family, so that it connects to ocean shore/south golden beach where many families live I don't feel there is a safe option for us to ride to Brunswick, so if option one was used, we would get much more enjoyment out of our family rides	My son could potentially ride to get the school But mostly for a family fun option Im sure many in the community may use it to cummute to work.	Option 1 - the north option	I think linking mullum to ocean shores/south golden beach in option one is the best option
Strongly support	I'm a runner and it would be a lot safer to have a bike path connecting the towns than just the sides of the roads		Option 2 - the south option	

To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?	Please provide any feedback you have about the project	Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway	Which option do you prefer?	Please provide any other comments you'd like to make
Strongly support	Great idea. Cyclist will be able to ride in safety and not on the narrow unsafe road.	I am in my "70s and do not ride a bike	Option 1 - the north option	Use if rail corridor sensible idea. Option 2 would make Argyle Street too crowded
Strongly support	So many more people who live in ocean shores can be connected by a safe flat route to Mullumbimby by the north route.		Option 1 - the north option	An easier safe path using the rail corridor
Strongly support	Byronshire should by now have lots of safe cycling tracks. To connect all the little towns with cycletracks is a great addition to our beautiful area.	As a Dutch older woman I would love to bike safely around the area for most of my shopping. Less pollution and keeping fit. Win/win situation.	Option 2 - the south option	It would be better if the cycling track goes all the way to Uncle Toms corner as I will come from Tyagarah. Then the next part is to connect/make a cycling track to the junction from Yarun road to Uncle Toms. Then I will mostly bike from Tyagarah to Bruns and Mullum.
Strongly support	Safe way of cycling, Connecting the region. Enjoying bike riding.		Option 2 - the south option	We live at Tyagarah and solution 2 may improve the dangerous Mullumbimby Rd.
Strongly support	Great project.		Option 1 - the north option	Ocean Shores has the highest population in Byron Shire and the least infrastructure. The bike trail would give Ocean Shores residents access to the pool. Would allow high-school kids to ride to school. It would use the existing railway corridor and make a start on the rail trail. Ocean Shores has 5100 residents versus Mullumbimby with 3500.
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	We need to see topographical maps to understand these options. Hills make a huge difference when cycling so this information needs to be considered.		Option 1 - the north option	I support this because it's closer to where I live. However, as mentioned, I need to see topographical maps before making a final decision.
Strongly support	<p>The proposed routes require topographical information to help decide on the preferred route.</p> <p>There is currently no viable or safe cycle route between the coast and Mullumbimby, so new cycle infrastructure is welcome.</p> <p>It would be great to have some type of commercial use of this infrastructure for visitors to use - for example electric tuk tuk style transport that could use cycle infrastructure to transport people inland.</p> <p>Using the rail corridor sounds at first glance like a good idea because of the already gentle gradients on the track.</p> <p>As a user of rail trails for many years in Victoria I can only applaud the move towards utilising the existing infrastructure left from the years of commercial rail.</p>	<p>See earlier comments, but a commercial use of the cycleway utilising electric bikes or multi-passenger tuk tuk style vehicles would be fantastic.</p> <p>This would be a major path for leisure activities, and for visitors to show them around both the countryside - what a beautiful landscape to move through - and for the novelty factor.</p> <p>I would personally use the track to commute to Mullumbimby from Ocean Shores 4 days a week.</p>	Option 1 - the north option	On the face of it I like the northern route, as it follows the rail line for a period, which is a great use of the rail corridor, then along the river which gives the most beautiful route, and the possible low gradients which makes the ride easier and pleasant.
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	It is vital to the youth the live in Ocean Shore and go to Mullum high. It is essential, should have been built decades ago		Option 2 - the south option	

**To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?**

**Please provide any feedback you have about the project**

**Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway**

**Which option do you prefer?**

**Please provide any other comments you'd like to make**

Strongly support	We need a cycle way yo link		Option 1 - the north option	
Somewhat support			Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support				I think both options should be made!!
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Somewhat oppose	Until the future use of the rail corridor, the inadequate response to floods and natural disasters and the overall planning objectives of the shire regarding transport are determined and attended to the allocation of limited resources on a bike path is both premature and wasteful. Also has the council done any survey of how many "rate payers" ride bikes as a mode of transport? Before surveys such as this are done maybe do the homework and then tell us the reasons why it is thought to be a priority, a good idea, the cost, whose land is to be affected and if all necessary consents are seen as achievable with the different options. The north route seems to traverse private land ..is the government/council going to be acquiring private land for either route?		Unsure	
Strongly support	I've been dreaming about that for years. The roads are too narrow, with a lot of t'affle and it doesn't feel safe to bike. A dedicated cycling path would be fabulous for roller-blading and electric scooters too.	I would love to use it on the weekends especially.	Option 2 - the south option	South option is my preferred route. It would easily connect with another path later on towards Byron.
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Our extended family of ten adults and older children living in Mullumbimby/Mullumbimby Creek are all cyclists cycling at least four times a week. Our older adults (aged 55-81) cycle to Brunswick Heads about three times a year via Saddle Road. This is quite dangerous at present. We love the idea of doing a cycle run to Brunswick Heads safely on our conventional and e-bikes. We prefer Ootion 2.	Happy with both options but prefer option two.	Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	

**To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?**

**Please provide any feedback you have about the project**

**Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway**

**Which option do you prefer?**

**Please provide any other comments you'd like to make**

Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	This is an absolutely essential project to be taking place in an area with relatively poor cycle ways. I am fully supportive.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Unsure	
Somewhat support	I support footpaths as well as a cycle route. I don't support the cycle route where it is proposed to go into the nature reserve / mangrove swamp in Ocean Shores behind the shopping centre to the easement block at the eastern end of Orana Road.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	The current lack of provision of cycleways, encouraging the safe use of non-polluting and health-benefiting transport options, is a blight on our calls to be an environmentally "aware" shire.		Option 1 - the north option	They are both quite good options, so happy either way, providing it happens.
Strongly support	It us about time this wss done, it should have been constructed years ago.		Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	The rail corridor route should be prioritised. It is inevitable that the rail trail is completed to link Lismore and tweed sections, not to mention a cycling corridor between Byron and Mullum is needed more than a bruns link. Best integration with future infrastructure is the answer		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	I am hoping for trains back on the tracks- so i choose option 2. We can have cycle paths and a working railway.		Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	Definitely a strong support for this project.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support		Health and well-being provided by such a cycleway should be valued highly in any cost benefit analysis that is carried out.	Option 2 - the south option	I want to go to Brunswick Heads first and Ocean Shores can be a second destination if needs be. Council should look at providing cycleways that safely link the towns / schools across the shire
Strongly support	I used to ride my bicycle to work but don't any more due to safety concerns. We have four people in our house that would regularly use if this was made available.		Option 2 - the south option	We live near Uncle's Tom's Pies hence couldn't use the north option. We need to commute to Mullumbimby and to Brunswick and option two (south) goes right past our door so would be amazing! There are a lot of hitch hikers on the southern route so would make it safer for them also.
Strongly support	This would bring everyone together more, cycleways are GREAT!		Unsure	I don't have enough information to form an informed opinion.
Somewhat support			Unsure	

**To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?**

**Please provide any feedback you have about the project**

**Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway**

**Which option do you prefer?**

**Please provide any other comments you'd like to make**

Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	both options should be considered and if one is successful before the other that should not preclude the other at a later stage. any option that keeps bikes and pedestrians separate from traffic is a good one. any use of the railway corridor should not preclude rail trail or future light rail on the existing rail corridor	it would be great to move around the shier particular the coastal towns without driving	Option 1 - the north option	if one gets the go ahead the other should not be discounted for the future
Strongly support	I have ridden on the road between Mullum and Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores and have been terrified on the narrow busy road. Having a cycle route would provide a safe route and encourage cyclists who commute and who ride for pleasure.	We live in a beautiful environment and showing tourists this area by bike is a wonderful way to showcase our area. My experience riding on numerous country cycle tracks is that they are a drawcard for tourists who spend money to stay and enjoy the environment.	Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	In strong support if more bike tracks in the shire.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	The cycle path that was recently put in Balemo drive is great however one big issue is at the billinudgel end where it is on a dangerous corner and you have to cross the road twice to continue on the path, dangerous for children cycling to school
Strongly support	In the unlikely event of the train continuing - this cycleway is an excellent use of the work already carried out.	This is a wonderful place to cycle by road and the safety of a cycleway will make the experience even better	Option 2 - the south option	
Somewhat support			Unsure	

**To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?**

**Please provide any feedback you have about the project**

**Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway**

**Which option do you prefer?**

**Please provide any other comments you'd like to make**

Strongly support	For a Council that promotes Net Zero Emissions and climate change mitigation, there sure is a lack of cycle friendly options (outside of Byron Bay and Suffolk Park). It's great to see this project getting a leg up.	I am an active and fit cyclist and I love riding up hills. I regularly ride from South Golden Beach to Mullumbimby using Tweed Valley Way and via Option E (The Saddle Rd and Mullumbimby Road). However, I love hills and sweat and a rapid hear rate. the only other cyclists I know of who use this route are other athletes or "has beens" who are still fit and adventurous. Not many cyclists and certainly not many commuters are into hill climbing. The preferred routes (Option A and Option D) are both way too steep (being the approaches to Vallances Rd and Smokey Valley Way on Option A - both ways, and the approaches to Saddle Road on Option D - both ways). You will not get commuters using these routes happily. I am concerned that the consultant who prepared the report has never been on an non-motorised push bike. Switch backs will make no difference if the total climb is unpalatable (over 60m of climb to go Option D via saddle road heading west). Due to the climb, I would not recommend Options A, D, E or F. Option A has too many environmental constraints including high environmental value vegetation, coastal wetlands and koala habitat from the eastern end of Synotts Lane to Smokey Valley Way. Similarly, whilst Option B would be absolutely lovely, it has similar environmental constraints. By widening Mullumbimby Rd (Option C), cyclists would only need to conquer a single hill of less than 20m total climb, though people would have to make friends with the Manns Rd magpie! An alternative route, which has probably already been investigated, is avoiding the Saddle Rd hill altogether by using the western half of Option F and continuing it through Bashforth's property around the northern end of the hill, under the freeway, and east to Tweed Street through NPWS and	I don't support either of the two options proposed	See comments on previous page. Option 1 and 2 are too steep for most cyclists and commuters. Option 1 also has too many environmental risks.
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Unsure	
Neutral	Driver education and cyclist priority on roads is urgently needed in Byron shire	Only useful where it is the shortest route. I use roads with or without cycle ways. Far more useful to commuters with a train	Unsure	Better on road options which accommodate train links
Strongly support	A cycleway that helps link Mullum, Bruns and Ocean Shores would be a significant benefit to the community from a health, environmental and economic perspective.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Mullumbimby is an island. Me and my family cannot go any other places without car. Can't ride bikes with my kids to anywhere but only in town centre.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	With a cycle route like that I would go to work using my push bike everyday !		Option 2 - the south option	



**To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?**

**Please provide any feedback you have about the project**

**Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway**

**Which option do you prefer?**

**Please provide any other comments you'd like to make**

Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	I would be significantly more likely to ride my bike (and leave the car at home) between home (Ocean Shores), Brunswick Heads and Mullum if a safe bike route existed.	For bike riding as exercise	Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support		Option 1 on the tunnel road is too dangerous even though it turns off at Synnots lane	Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	As a enthusiastic bike rider I strongly support a cycleway from Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads. Having a cycleway would mean that I would have to use my car less for trips to the beach in Brunswick Heads. As a family who loves bikeriding we have limited options available at the moment. My daughters and I usually just go for a bike ride through Mullumbimby. It would be wonderful to enjoy a longer bike ride to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores.		Option 1 - the north option	I prefer the north option because it uses the rail corridor. Also is seems to be the more interesting route because it has more nature to offer.
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	I prefer this route because it is mostly away from roads and traffic, plus it's closer to my home location. Making use of the rail corridor makes sense
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	This would just be a wonderful option for all our residents in this council area and tourists visiting to be able to enjoy!!!! I feel the option 1 route heading north is the better option		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Very dangerous cycling to mullium at present. I'd love to cycle with the family however there is no way I would try taking the road. Seeing others cycling this road leaves a bad feeling in your stomach. A cycle track would be amazing very happen for my rates to be spent on this proposal.	This is a great idea for the whole community, environment, business hard to find a negative.	Option 1 - the north option	I believe this to benefit the most people and allows for future possibilities of joining the new tweed cycle path all the way to mullium. Looks like option 1 would be very pretty great for walkers as well.
Strongly support	I think it's a great idea. Either route would work. A great option to give locals a safe alternative to road transport and great recreation.		Option 2 - the south option	Happy with either
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	I prefer option 1 due to living in Ocean Shores	I would use for pleasure/exercise mostly	Option 1 - the north option	I live in Ocean Shores and appreciate this route better
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	

To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?	Please provide any feedback you have about the project	Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway	Which option do you prefer?	Please provide any other comments you'd like to make
Strongly support	It makes a lot of sense to connect the 2 towns, it makes no sense at all, not to do it via Gulgan Road. Id be concerned about safety not being on road & it not being flat. There needs to be on road connection.	we would use it with our children to access the 2 towns	I don't support either of the two options proposed	I prefer the initial option council put up, via Gulgan road. Looks like an interstate consultant with little local knowledge has informed a route that wouldn't make sense. we have the buses for all schools stopping on gulgan road. it makes much more sense to do the southern option via gulgan road not via saddle road. it's also flat & doesn't have to traverse private property.
Strongly support	I have been desperate for this. I'm a cyclist, and I want to cycle from Brunswick Heads into Mullumbimby to shop and swim, but at the moment it's very dangerous, so I either drive, or avoid going into Mullumbimby.	I'm so excited that Council is moving forward with this proposal.	Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Sounds awesome. I support active transport infrastructure projects to the max. Would definitely be useful to the community and for tourists, day trippers and people on longer rides.	Any well built and designed active transport infrastructure is good. Another option instead of using the car, or risking your life riding on the road is a good option.	Option 1 - the north option	I think north. Reasons: - it also connects in with Ocean Shores, which will provide more incentive for people to use it, more user ship. - goes along next to rail corridor, less interaction with cars, much more peaceful ride.  On a side note, can you build both options? ;)
Strongly support	It will help bring the communities together. People will be less dependent on using cars and public transport and it's great exercise.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	We really need good cycleways locally. We would cycle from OS to Mullum if good paths were available. The kids would also cycle to school. Yes please!		Option 1 - the north option	Really keen to see the rail trail used as a cycle way and ultimately connected to other rail trail options (tweed and ballina regions).
Strongly support	The northern route as more people can benefit		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	A route starting from Ocean Shores with less hills so older cyclists can use it..	This would increase my opportunities for fitness and family time.	Option 1 - the north option	Living in Ocean shores , my school age children could ride to school in Mullumbimby safely.
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	I regularly ride from Brunswick Heads along Saddle Road to Mullumbimby Road and would love to continue into Mullum, but it is just too dangerous. At the very least I would like that missing link addressed first, but I support both the north and south proposals.	I would use it for shopping in Mullum (from Brunswick Heads) if I could get there safely.	Option 2 - the south option	I think both options would be good, although I feel I don't have enough detail about either one. If the rail corridor is used, I would want to ensure it would be available for trains/trams in future, consistent with the council's/former mayor's policies.

**To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?**

**Please provide any feedback you have about the project**

**Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway**

**Which option do you prefer?**

**Please provide any other comments you'd like to make**

Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	We've always wanted to be able to get around the area by bike (and get out of our car) - strongly support!		Option 2 - the south option	It's hard to say without understanding how steep/fast/safe the two routes are. Intuitively, option 2 sounds flatter and quicker.
Strongly support	This would be a wonderful addition to our community..8t would allow people to ride safely between the centres.. something I refuse to do at present due to the risk	I and others I know will use this bike path for recreational purposes and also shopping in Mullum	Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	As a regular cyclist in the Northern Rivers area, I am very keen to see this proposal integrated with the Northern Rivers Rail Trail, ie make use of the existing rail corridor. It makes complete sense to become part of the developing NRRT.	The Northern Rivers Rail Trail when complete will improve much needed active transport in the Northern Rivers. Generally, the road connections between our towns, villages, school and community are quite dangerous for cyclists and pedestrians.	Option 1 - the north option	In the long run, the best solution would be the option that best supports the Northern Rivers Rail Trail. This would appear to be Option 1. The Northern Rivers Rail Trail, when complete, has the potential to be a great success!
Strongly support	Option 1 makes more sense as it incorporates future connection to link to Tweed rail trail, plus more scenic route including tunnels , tourists love tunnels,	Had some European visitors recently, puzzled at a supposed "GREEN"shire not having bike paths in Mullumbimby. ?	Option 1 - the north option	Initial comment
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Somewhat support	Option 1 is my preference since it will be used mostly by the much larger population of Ocean Shores		Option 1 - the north option	I live at Ocean Shores and would most likely not use option 2
Strongly support	why not establish both option as cycle routes. option 2 can be extended to connect to Byron.		Option 2 - the south option	Do both as a loop and stop farting about
Strongly support	Yes, minimal elevation for an easy ride. With way finding signage and connections to the rail trail in tweed.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Both ways are good.	Its important to create a safe way to ride a bike	Unsure	Less hills for older residents
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	We use our bikes a lot and it is very stressful to drive on the Mullumbimby Road to Brunswick Heads as it is narrow and people drive fast. We NEED a cycleway asap. I support the South option.		Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	This is a wonderful project which will connect the two villages. We will be able to ride our bikes safely to the beach from Mullum! How fabulous for us all, how fabulous for the kids		Option 1 - the north option	It's good to utilise the rail corridor as much as possible. Also, this route is great for people who live in Ocean Shores, Sth Golden, etc

To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?	Please provide any feedback you have about the project	Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway	Which option do you prefer?	Please provide any other comments you'd like to make
Strongly support	A fantastic idea, both for older cyclists and younger people. Many residents of the area have visiting family and friends who are often keen cyclists. It also means much better connection to Mullum than any bus service is ever likely to be able to provide.	Our household would use the cycleway for shopping as well as recreation.	Option 2 - the south option	I am assuming that the northern options would have some steeper sections than the south option. This would be difficult for both younger and older cyclists. Many people in the area, including residents and tenants, can't afford electric bikes. And of course many holidaymakers staying in caravan parks will continue to have conventional bicycles.
Strongly support	It would be a huge boost to the welfare of the local population.		Option 2 - the south option	I would like there to be an option that prefers BOTH!
Strongly support	A great healthy initiative that will drive sustainable tourism		Option 2 - the south option	Hurry up please
Strongly support	great idea. it facilitates more commuter and leisure activity between the three townships.		Option 1 - the north option	serves the greater number of people and is cheaper
Strongly support	I think it's a great idea but I think the two routes you suggest are not the best options.		I don't support either of the two options proposed	I don't like either. The routes you suggest are too hilly and/or are remote bush paths that - as a woman - I'd not feel safe using. I'd prefer a two-way cycle path separate from the road which would go along Argyle St, Mullumbimby Rd and Gulgarn Rd to meet with the existing path across the highway and into Brunswick Heads. Please don't spend heaps of money on a path that is too hilly or feels unsafe.
Strongly support	Needs to be safe for all ages		Option 2 - the south option	Shorter to Brunswick Heads and can continue on to Ocean Shores. Expect Brunswick is the more popular destination.
Strongly support	As a 70 year old that rides in Brunswick Heads on a regular basis, I support a route along the existing road but separated from the traffic. that does not have extreme elevations.		I don't support either of the two options proposed	The best option will be to build a cycle way alongside the existing road.
Strongly support	Why has the much flatter route, proposed in the original Byron Shire Bike Plan which goes alongside the main road route between the two towns, has been omitted from this consultation?	If we want to have a well-used cycle way which is accessible to all people in the community it is critical that a flat, safe route is selected. If Byron Council wants to have a real consultation with the community then it is critical that they do not present such a limited choice for residents	I don't support either of the two options proposed	I support the much flatter route proposed in the original Byron Shire Bike Plan which goes alongside the main road route between the two towns, but which has been omitted from this consultation.
Strongly support	It's important for students and adults to have a safe bike path to neighbouring townships given the impossibly irregular public transport and infrastructure in each town. Cars are too polluting!! And petrol only going up and up. We need an alternative to connect us.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Unsure	
Strongly support	I think you need to try harder to develop a route along the Brunswick River opening up relatively flat allowing access to the riverine country and wetlands providing a relatively flat and shorter route.	You need cycleways away from roads where possible.	I don't support either of the two options proposed	Option 2 is the least objectionable but you need to try harder to imagine and develop a route along the river.

To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?	Please provide any feedback you have about the project	Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway	Which option do you prefer?	Please provide any other comments you'd like to make
Strongly support	A more direct route across flat land and along the river and wetlands would be preferable to develop an appreciation for nature.	Imagine having access to the rivers edge and wetlands on a wonderful healthy ride.	I don't support either of the two options proposed	Try harder to look at the advantages of a nature route.
Strongly support	I want to see the original proposal that provided the flattest cycleway via uncle Tom's and following the existing roads, but being seperated from it. Thus accessible to many more people.		I don't support either of the two options proposed	See earliest comments
Strongly support	what about aligning it with the gulgan road ( but separated from cars)		I don't support either of the two options proposed	prefer to align with the current roads
Somewhat support	Keep the train tracks or put the bikes next to the train track, not destroy the tracks.		Option 2 - the south option	Make a path along the river. Brunswick River connection
Strongly support	I have ridden to Brunswick Heads in both routes. The Saddle road route is hideous as the road is in terrible condition. Unless the road was improved and maintained this would not be an option I would support.	The best option would be out of town on Argyle Street and past Uncle Tom's. A much flatter route also and much more accessible for more people	Option 2 - the south option	I would prefer the route past Uncle Tom's. As it is a flatter route
Strongly oppose	A better option - I prefer a cycle path alongside the existing main road past uncle toms to Brunswick - it need to be seperate from the the existing rd. This option ( not presented) is flatter and would be more used as cycling as a means of transport eg helps get cars off the rd. The options presented perhaps good for tourists. However let's look after locals who maybe don't hav a car or cost of fuel is an issue. Thanks.	Safe Walking - cycling.	I don't support either of the two options proposed	
Strongly support	Been looking forward to a cycle way from Brunswick Heads to Mullumbimby for years so very happy to hear this is a priority. I think many residents and visitors will use this amenity as they are keen cyclists and happy to use the car less.	I will use it for going to Mullumbimby for shopping and appointments.	Option 2 - the south option	I would prefer the option that has the least step sections so its easier to ride from Brunswick Heads to Mullumbimby. This is important for both older and younger residents and visitors who can't afford electric bikes.
Strongly support	I fully support a bicycle track. It is a shame for a 'green shire' as Byron Bay claims to be not to have bicycle tracks everywhere.	I would like to see a bicycle track along every road in the shire. It should be part of every road renewal work.	Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	As a resident in South Golden Beach, I vote for Option 1, so a wider range of the neighboring suburbs such as Ocean Shores, New Brighton, and SGB can easily access the trail.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	A great healthy environmentally friendly public and tourist amenity		Option 1 - the north option	Still prefer the river option

**To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?**

**Please provide any feedback you have about the project**

**Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway**

**Which option do you prefer?**

**Please provide any other comments you'd like to make**

Strongly support	As an older woman I would be able to use a "flattish" path. One that follows existing road is my preferred option. That is one that is NOT an option put forward at present. To give choices of inappropriate pathways is not an option.	Consult again and ask for suggested options that are flatter to travel on please.	I don't support either of the two options proposed	Path to follow existing road but be separate from it
Strongly support	It is a fantastic idea that will promote healthy connection between the northern towns of the Shire and help reduce the number of cars on the road at the same time.		Option 1 - the north option	Option 1 has the benefit of connecting both Ocean Shores and Brunswick Heads - that includes an additional 5,000 people!
Strongly support	Both options are ideal for different reasons however I have concerns about option 2 being partly on road for safety of riders.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	Please make use of the obsolete railway line corridor by turning it into a rail trail same as the Tweed Shire is doing.
Strongly support	spectacular idea	it would be great to see the landscape from new points of view, ie. not from the usual roads	Option 2 - the south option	best to connect mullum with industrial state, and also straightest path to the beach. very important
Strongly support	My partner and I would like to strongly advocate for safe cycling in the Shire. Most roads do not have an adequate shoulder for cycling and therefore we do not feel safe cycling on the Roads. We believe strongly that by putting infrastructure in for cycling will encourage more cyclists into the area.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Crucial project that can't come quick enough to provide safe access between Mullum/Bruns/OceanShores for families who wish to walk or cycle safely between these communities. For a region that promotes positive environmental lifestyle, the car currently remains the only 'safe' form of transport on the high traffic narrow roads. This and associated Byron Shire projects offer a safe & healthy alternative for our citizens		Unsure	Not totally sure of the terrain at the moment. Would like to traverse (maybe drone type video) to get a feel, distances etc for the alternative routes
Neutral	I strongly oppose route 1 XX. It will go through land that has been declared to be highly environmentally sensitive as well as disrupt koala habitat.		Option 2 - the south option	XX



**To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?**

**Please provide any feedback you have about the project**

**Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway**

**Which option do you prefer?**

**Please provide any other comments you'd like to make**

Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	I have walked the Southern option and I am wondering if Council staff have walked as well, prior to listing it here as an option. It is very very steep, and even with switchbacks, would be totally unsuitable as a cycling route. Saddle rd would also be difficult to ride in the direction of Mullum as it is quite steep too. It's also very pot-holed at the moment. Option 1 is by far the better option but the route on the map may have to be adjusted as it is also steep in parts.
Strongly support	This is a great concept and the more safe dedicated cycling routes in this region the better		Option 1 - the north option	Option two has a very steep section that would make it very unattractive to most users
Strongly support	To dangerous at the moment to cycle to mullumbimby for work or school.	Build it fast please	Option 1 - the north option	Corridor and funding already exists no need to go though private land.
Strongly support	The more cycleways we have in the Byron Shire the better! They are better for health and fitness, mental health and safer than riding on roads. Please make this happen asap!		Option 1 - the north option	It makes sense to use the rail corridor. Bringing trains back would be nice but it is too expensive and therefore unrealistic.
Strongly support	I believe creating a mutual space for the able'd and disabled from each suburb in the Byron shire will make a more safer experience for new onlookers and for the youth that struggle with getting to and from places. This idea is beneficial for everyone and could save alot of lives.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	I'm currently too many safety concerns to try riding from Mullum to Byron, so this would be a fantastic option to have and I would use this frequently.		Option 1 - the north option	It would be good to have more details about the difficulty of the ride, hills, gradients, shade etc. Option 1 makes more sense as this would encompass Ocean Shores & Bruns communities.
Strongly support	Definitely just do it please	Awesome! In every way	Unsure	Fastest way from Mullumbimby to Brunswick heads please
Strongly support	Highly interested in having a cycling option to get to the beach and back	Can't wait for it to be available!	Option 1 - the north option	Less traffic
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	rail trails have a proven history of reinvigorating the economy of regional towns all over the world . Build it & the riders will come from outside the region & spend		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	The future private transport will rely more on self powered accessibility via beautiful and quiet scenic landscapes.	It will be a big health and fitness asset in our region.	Option 1 - the north option	This is the more fascinating route and involves more intimate connection to our surroundings.
Strongly support			Unsure	
Strongly support	Great for health and tourism.		Option 1 - the north option	



To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?	Please provide any feedback you have about the project	Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway	Which option do you prefer?	Please provide any other comments you'd like to make
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	Eventually the final rail trail will connect Casino to the Gold Coast, and will bring a new form of active family tourism to compliment the region's existing excellent facilities. Congratulations to everyone who has been involved for many years to finally move this project ahead.
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	The current situation is that there are no roads that are safe to ride on from Mullumbimby in any direction. We are supposed to be a progressive shire and yet we do not provide for safe cycling.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	These rail trails are an amazing community enhancement both for local recreation and for business investment and expansion	Can't wait to cycle the trail and visit all the cafes along the way	Option 1 - the north option	I think we should maximise use of rail corridor for safest quietest route
Strongly support	It should be integrated with the northern rivers rail trail		Option 1 - the north option	I hope that with option one using the rail corridor this may help complete the Byron shire component of the northern rivers rail trail
Strongly support	Have you heard of SHWEEB, it's an electric overhead rail system designed by Google for a Park at Rotorua, May be applicable for some part of the Rail Trail to round out the Tourism Experience	Presumably ebikes will be for hire ?	Option 1 - the north option	Is there a way we could get suspended high speed electric pod rial like SHWEEB considered, that has vertical separation for safety (5mhigh off the ground), could carry a few people plus bike rack, no level crossings required, and could travel above cycle routes and old rail corridors
Strongly support	This would provide a fantastic healthy family activity for the area, attract visitors, provide employment and provide a safe car-free route for cyclists.	I have ridden a number of rail trails across the country and around the world and there is growing interest for low carbon footprint leisure activities that also benefit the community.	Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Unsure	
Strongly support	Long overdue and would impact a great deal on the quality of life of our family. My son is an avid bike rider but I have felt nervous about him riding from mullum to bruns because it's so dangerous.		Option 2 - the south option	Both options are good. However from a convenience perspective, linking mullum to bruns is better for the south option, because between Ocean shores and bruns there is existing paths that are already safe and accessible, priority for me is to connect mullum to bruns in the most time effective way. I think there will be a great deal of commuting for people/ locals between the 2 locations. It allows for great freedom for my teenagers to move between the 2 locations safely.
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support		Provides a valuable community resource in a location where bicycle transiting can be challenging.	Unsure	The southern route may be cheaper to build without a dedicated bridge over the Brunswick River and probably provides comparable utility. What's important is to have it finished quickly.
Strongly support			Unsure	

**To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?**

**Please provide any feedback you have about the project**

**Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway**

**Which option do you prefer?**

**Please provide any other comments you'd like to make**

Strongly support	Of the above options I would favour the north route as it uses the rail trail which is better than on road. My preference would be to use the rail line from Brunswick towards Byron to where it goes under the highway, then turn north along the existing water pipeline right to the entrance to Bruns which has a cycle path that continues along the river into town.	It would be a boost to passive/eco tourism and for businesses along the way	I don't support either of the two options proposed	I think the best route would be follow the rail line out of Mullum towards Byron. Then turn left at the underpass at the highway and travel along the pipeline route to just after southern on ramp of the highway at Bruns and use the existing bike path from there to town. This route is flatter and has no traffic at all.
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	So needed for eco friendly, yet safe travel		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	This is desperately needed!		Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	This project will be welcomed by the community and fantastic for our younger generation	There are already people cycling these routes and would be much safer providing a pathway.	Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	just do the rail trail both way if not more bike paths all over the shire	and make it for walking as well	Option 1 - the north option	just do both so there is a loop
Strongly support	It would be very good if the proposed cycleway intersected as much as possible with the rail corridor		Option 1 - the north option	Makes sense to link with rail corridor to maximise opportunities
Strongly support	It's a safer option for a greener, healthier, cheaper and more social way of getting about the best part of NSW, which is the best part of Australia, and, you guessed right: the best part of the world! Why wouldn't you put in a cycle corridor!?!?!?	A cycleway will increase business, improve health, decrease vehicle pollution and traffic leading to improved road safety for cyclists and motorists.	Option 1 - the north option	Option one is accessible to more people.
Strongly support	This would be a fantastic draw card for tourists and very much needed. Bike trails are and becoming extremely popular overseas EG New Zealand		Unsure	which ever is the most scenic
Strongly support	Unused railways are an underused resource. Cycling and walking on low-gradient is great for a wide range of ages		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Love the idea that we can cycle to the beach instead of getting in a car.	Great way of getting tourists off the road and for everyone to explore the natural beauty of our area.	Option 1 - the north option	I like Option 1 because it will be more peaceful and is away from the road mostly. It also uses the existing rail corridor which hopefully will be expanded so that we can ride our bikes through the hinterland.

To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?	Please provide any feedback you have about the project	Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway	Which option do you prefer?	Please provide any other comments you'd like to make
Strongly support	We can't wait to have access to this trail - such a great way to get out into green space and exercise at the same time		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	A cycle way that avoids on road is much better than 'sharing' the road with vehicles. The wind factor, the noise and cars that come too close does not make a calm ride that lets you appreciate the surrounding.	Opens the way to long cycles through the Northern Rivers which would attract more visitors and a range of age groups	Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	I will be retiring in the next couple of years and will have the time to cycle locally instead of driving. I would gladly ride from Bruns to Mullum if there was a pleasant and safe route.	I take my grandchild cycling regularly and lament the dearth of safe cycle ways in Ocean shores.	Option 1 - the north option	I live in Ocean shores and would use the pathway much more often if it came through our significantly populated suburb. Ocean shores is lacking in many of the infrastructure benefits of other centres in our shire yet we have the largest population. This route would start to address this discrepancy!
Strongly support	With the Tweed and Casino sections of the Northern Rivers Rail Trail going ahead it would be nice to have some rail trails in the Byron section too.	I live in the northern rivers and would visit the Rail Trail regularly.	Option 1 - the north option	I prefer option 1 using part of the rail corridor.
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	Locals and visitors should be able to move freely between population centres without the fear of being mowed down by motorised traffic. It seems an obvious thing but many roads in the Northern Rivers region are very unfriendly or down right dangerous in regards to bicycle riders. If we are to reduce our reliance on the motor vehicle then better planning and significant investment in active transport infrastructure is required. So yes, more of these types of initiatives please.	I am a recreational rider who rides most days, either on the road, designated pathways or on mountain bike trails. I will definitely be riding this cycleway.	Option 1 - the north option	Option 1, only because it also connects Ocean Shores. But to be honest I think both options should be implemented.

To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?	Please provide any feedback you have about the project	Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway	Which option do you prefer?	Please provide any other comments you'd like to make
Strongly support	As a regular user of Victoria's well established rail trails, they are a great eco-tourism attraction and bring large numbers of casual and outdoors loving cyclists into the many towns that dot these trails. This has had a strong economic impact in areas like Bright, in NE Victoria where many small businesses like cafes, bike hire shops, bakeries and restaurants now enjoy a steady, year round revenue stream thanks in large part to the brilliant network of rain trails. Byron Shire towns stand to benefit in much the same way should rail trails proceed. More importantly, rail trails have shown they attract more women and children into casual cycling providing sting community, soicial and health benefits	As a permanent resident living at McLeods Shoot, we have regular visitors from other states and family from overseas. Being able to hire bikes and ride a network of trails would be a wonderful addition to Byron's tourism infrastructure	Option 2 - the south option	Option two - because I would like to see this route connect to a trail direct from Byron township.
Strongly support	Another small step in our efforts to move away from our reliance on the car and also to attract young people and non cyclists to a healthy way to get to school and work and the shops.	By the development of Option 1 we are creating an environment that will develop along its path that may have benefits yet to be thought of.	Option 1 - the north option	To encourage the maximum people to use this facility we must try to ensure that, as much as possible, it utilizes the off-road option (option 1)
Strongly support	Safer for everyone, a wonderful project.		Option 1 - the north option	Either option is good
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	Important to develop opportunities for net zero transport and recreation.		Option 1 - the north option	Lower risk of traffic interaction.
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	I prefer a more direct route to Ocean Shores to connect with that community. I also think option 1 is safer and off road for a better cycling experience.
Strongly support	Hi, XX. I am very excited for you guys to be getting this project going. It gets people out of cars, the local community and surrounding businesses all benefit from these amazing trails. In our area we have over 130kms of cycle trail and one of the key factors is that there is not allowed to be more 1km of road riding at any given point. All of our cyclists love the fact they are away from cars and we constantly assure them they are not on road, but on trails designed for cyclists and encompass the best views and features the region has to offer. So I would recommend going with the rail trail as much as possible, keep it in nature and views that can only be seen by biking. E bikes are such a great way to get around and I can 100% guarantee that everyone will be blown away by the stats once you get things going. Well done!	Such a stunning area deserves a cycle trail. All areas of the community will benefit from this, especially the locals. Cyclists love to eat, drink and buy local products. High value tourism.	Unsure	I believe to get the best results whatever option links through Byron with the North and South rail trails is best.

To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?	Please provide any feedback you have about the project	Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway	Which option do you prefer?	Please provide any other comments you'd like to make
Strongly support	As soon as possible this project should go ahead and become a beautiful functional path between villages. Can't wait!!	Wheelchair access, walking, jogging and knowing others are doing the same - gold	Option 1 - the north option	Better to use the rail corridor, more picturesque, quieter, safer etc. Both would be great in the long run though.
Strongly support	The cycleway must be the one with the least gradient (ie. flattest)		Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	South rout		Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	Along the river or the railway would be the best option to stay away from cars. I know first hand the danger of cycling next to cars. As an intensive care nurse I have seen what cars can do to cyclists.		I don't support either of the two options proposed	Option 1 heading along the railway corridor and then along the river straight to bruns with an offshoot to the already active ocean shores path.
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	This is a great initiative, and wonderful to have an opportunity for families to do free activities together.		Option 1 - the north option	This option is preferable as it's more off road.
Strongly support	Another great way to get bodies moving and using bikes instead of cars is a win win especially with fuel increases and pollution		Option 1 - the north option	Uses the rail corridor
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Somewhat support	I support this project but only if it is a walking/cycle path. as a person living with no vision, I would love the opportunity to walk on level, safe paths. There are no stretches of easy walking, Level pavements in Mullum. It would be a wonderful exercise opportunity for walkers of all ages, allowing more community people to use this facility.	This is a wonderful opportunity to provide an accessible walking/cycle path. Please do not exclude people from this opportunity. Walkers, Runners, families with prams, everyone deserves to use it		I would support any option, as long as it was easily accessible to the community community as a whole
Strongly support	This needs to be a dedicated cycle way, away from the road and traffic.	along the river?	Option 2 - the south option	separate from the road, all off road
Strongly support	I often commute from top frasers rd, Mullumbimby creek to Mullumbimby township and back. I am reluctant to use the road out to Brunswick heads. There are no safe options. I wear a hi viz jacket and have a flashing red rear light and hope for the best. I will turn left at. Saddle rd as I feel this is a safer option. When I get back to the roundabout I use the bike path into Brunswick heads	The cycleway needs to be family friendly	Option 2 - the south option	What do you propose the surface to be? The trail needs to be fairly flat for all to use

**To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?**

**Please provide any feedback you have about the project**

**Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway**

**Which option do you prefer?**

**Please provide any other comments you'd like to make**

Strongly support	We desperately need more transport options in this shire, and a cycle way is a fantastic step forward. I have no doubt this would be highly used by people needing to get to neighbouring towns, but also as a leisure and exercise track for younger people and families.		Option 1 - the north option	I am a resident of Ocean Shores and currently there are no safe places to ride to from this suburb. There are so many young families moving to the this suburb looking for the same thing - safe cycle (and walking/pram(m) pathways and they just don't exist. There's so much more that could be done (Ocean Shores should have more pavements!) but this is a fantastic step forward.
Strongly support	Connecting our communities in family friendly ways other than via cars is key to ensure a more environmentally friendly transport plan for our town and region.		Option 1 - the north option	I assume option 1 since using the rail pathway would provide a much flatter route. Giving elevation details of both routes would help make the decision easier.
Strongly oppose	We need the train back to ease congested roads		I don't support either of the two options proposed	
Strongly support	Both projects routes not great what about following the River itself from the Industrial estate onward. Not to many landowners to approach or work with, and private property rights donot generally go down to the waters edge. Using any part the existing road system in and out of Mullum is a numb skull idea dangerous and will slow down the flow of vehicle traffic even more.	All purposes	Option 2 - the south option	Ham let's by far the best idea to saddle road but would be even better if you could keep it on the flat lol at country all the way. Even consider a by way camping spot on the River
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support		I'm a regular cyclist but increased traffic over the last few years is making it more dangerous. This cycleway would be a great incentive to keep riding.	Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Unsure	
Strongly support	Please consider the large number of residents from Ocean Shores in the project		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	The southern route won't connect Ocean shores, which leaves out a chunk of the population and misses a key stated goal.
Strongly support	The cycleway must include Ocean Shores and there for provide access to a much larger combined population than Brunswick Heads alone.	Must include Ocean Shores.	Option 1 - the north option	Why can we not have both to create a loop?
Strongly support	Can it also be. Dog walking path?	Dog walking	Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	There's nothing else to do if we can't go to the beach	Promote fitness	Option 1 - the north option	Option 1. Obvious choice. As the majority of the population resides near option 1.

**To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?**

**Please provide any feedback you have about the project**

**Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway**

**Which option do you prefer?**

**Please provide any other comments you'd like to make**

Strongly support	A safe route is essential. I hit a pothole last week riding from Mullum to Bruns and am still recovering. Very dangerous road with high speed traffic and trucks leaving cyclists nowhere to go safely.	An ecological alternative to travel safely and reduce emissions	Option 1 - the north option	Mullumbimby Road is unsuitable
Strongly support	we need more cycle way paths especially in ocean shores where pregnant woman are pushing there baby on the grass... there needs to be a path from the shops to the beach.		Option 2 - the south option	better scenery
Strongly support	Due to Lack of public transport this would help a lot		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Our community would benefit so much from having a bike and walking track given that the roads are so narrow, long and winding. This has caused a number of bike and car accidents in our area over the years	Myself and my children would use the cycleway to visit friends regularly	Unsure	
Somewhat support			Option 1 - the north option	Make it also link to Billinudgel.
Strongly support	Why must we choose one? Surely having both would improve traffic flow to a lot of the shire	Installation of lights along the cycle way would also keep it safe for evening use.	Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Somewhat support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Do a complete rail trail using the entire rail corridor and have off shoots to the other towns. This way the whole shire can be connected for cycling and walking.		Option 1 - the north option	Use all rail corridor and includ Billinudgel.
Strongly support	It will further promote a healthy lifestyle in the Byron Shire.		Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	Better for Bruns residents
Strongly support	This the way for a safer greener future...		Option 1 - the north option	The ocean shores to billinudgel cycleway is fantastic and popular We need to see a cycleway from ocean shores south to brunswick - currently very dangerous cycling alongside the road
Strongly support	I live in Ocean Shores North and find I need to drive most places as the current route to Mullumbimby is too dangerous IMO for cyclists. Also I tend to not cycle to Brunswick due to the steepness of the hills. I'd love a route that's less steep.	cycle to shops and cafes	Option 1 - the north option	I love the idea of using the rail corridor



**To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?**

**Please provide any feedback you have about the project**

**Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway**

**Which option do you prefer?**

**Please provide any other comments you'd like to make**

Strongly support	More cycleways please. The Northern Rivers Rail Trail is also a priority. When is Council going to release a plan for Byron's part in this? The other three Councils involved are doing something about it.	When it comes to alternatives to car use Byron should be the shining example that all other regions look to. Please get our part of the NRRT underway as well as this minor cycleway.	Option 1 - the north option	North option because it connects an additional residential area, so more people are likely to use it.
Strongly support	Hurry up - we can't wait. It is long overdue		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Definitely needed!		Option 1 - the north option	Ocean shores has a lot of people living there who would be more likely to use this if option 1 is put in place
Strongly support	Very happy to hear this. A wonderful plan.	A walking track lane.	Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	A great idea. It's what the northern rivers area is all about.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	It's very difficult to cycle between the towns now, with traffic, and hills.		Option 1 - the north option	Connection and use of the rail corridor
Strongly support	This is fantastic. Byron is hideous to access by road. The development of a cycle path, will not only promote the economy of both communities, but also alleviate traffic congestion Newrybar Road.  Brunswick Heads is a great holiday/weekend destination, and the addition of a safe cycle way will improve it further, for both adults and children.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	Option 1 will actually get used  Option 2 is useless to 99% for bruns population
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	Both are needed. Why just one?

**To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?**

**Please provide any feedback you have about the project**

**Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway**

**Which option do you prefer?**

**Please provide any other comments you'd like to make**

Strongly support	Health, fitness and environmental benefits are massive. Strong Preference for offroad - I have 3 kids and hate cycling on busy roads with them - it would be a major reason not to use a cycleway, whereas off-road we would use it much more.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Option 1 sounds the best!	Great way to get more people active without the danger of being around traffic	Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	Rail corridor provides safe off-road scenic option
Neutral			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Connect it to the Tweed rail trail!!		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	This is a wonderful idea and greatly needed to provide safe cycling between these communities. Cycling on these roads is very dangerous with no shoulder, narrow, pot holes etc		Unsure	I like the fact option 1 uses rail trail but option 2 looks faster and more direct. Happy with either ( eventually do both and have wonderful circular route)
Strongly support	A		Option 2 - the south option	South route needs to be connected to Byron
Strongly support	We desperately need cycle ways all around the shire and this is a great start. I'll also suggest a cycle way between the centre of town out along Left Bank Road at the very least to Shearwater School however beyond Shearwater would be even better.		Option 2 - the south option	Both are worthwhile However using existing infrastructure is probably the better option
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	I would bring my family and friends down to ride the rail trail. The desire of the rail trail is to have a safe and separate cycling/shared path away from traffic.	The rail trail concept is a great one. It is well known that the benefits of a rail trail bring tourism to the local towns in passes.	Option 1 - the north option	Rail trail is the preferred option. As it encourages people to visit the local area.
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	We are keen recreational cyclists, with constant car rips to Ballina and Cabarita so we can use the cyclepaths. Living in Mullum, we would be very excited to have a path to ride to Brunswick!	A more scenic path following the rail corridor would be preferred.	Option 1 - the north option	

**To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?**

**Please provide any feedback you have about the project**

**Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway**

**Which option do you prefer?**

**Please provide any other comments you'd like to make**

Strongly support	would be an easy ride-opens up both communities	Very scenic	Option 2 - the south option	
Somewhat support			Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	These tracks have proven themselves over and over to be economic stimulates and have general health benefits. A no brainer!		Unsure	Do both.
Strongly support	If this bike path is built, I will be able to ride my bike to and from school and ride to my friends' houses on weekends which would be amazing.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	this would make it so I can cheat on all of my 7 girlfriends with ease		Option 1 - the north option	
Somewhat support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	cool	less cars need to be used	Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Gets cars off roads. Kids get active. People flock to town. Tourism businesses grow.	Just build it	Option 1 - the north option	Cycle paths on rail corridors are a proven winner
Strongly support	I used to ride every day when I lived back in Sydney 25 years ago...I felt much safer there than I have every felt when I have ventured out on the roads here in Byron Shire, to the point that I don't ride anymore-far too dangerous! The rail-trail would be a perfect opportunity to get all us ex-riders and new ones out and about to enjoy the local countryside.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Option one	Cycling is the futur of the shire	Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Both trails would be amazing. Please start with the safest off road trail and then progress to option 2. It will be amazing for the whole Northern Rivers from murwillumah to casino. Cannot wait! Money on wheels for tourism		Option 1 - the north option	As before comments
Strongly oppose	Any plans to remove the existing rail infrastructure is negative. Non road transport options need to be supported. Council and community need to support the extension of the Byron Bay Solar Train.		Option 2 - the south option	I support any option that does not destroy the rail corridor and it's future use for public transport.
Strongly support	i cant ride a bike		Option 1 - the north option	

**To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?**

**Please provide any feedback you have about the project**

**Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway**

**Which option do you prefer?**

**Please provide any other comments you'd like to make**

Strongly support	Bicycle transport provides the most efficient, lowest cost, and greatest benefit to cost ratio of any transport infrastructure. The region is well suited to much higher rates of usage of bicycles for short to medium trips, as long as the routes are perceived to be safe.		Option 1 - the north option	The north option appears to be the less expensive, as much of the alignment and some of the infrastructure is already in place. It is also scenic and would better connect in future to the Northern Rivers Rail Trail extensions in the north.
Strongly support	Please, we need more sustainable, accessible and cheaper transport options.	Cycling makes people happier and friendlier.	Option 1 - the north option	better for tourism
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Please try to keep most of it off road ie use the rail corridor as it's safer for cyclists and also less obtrusive to motorists.	Generate local tourism and attract tourists who stay and spend money.	Option 1 - the north option	Will be safer and more sustainable.
	To encourage cycling as a low carbon form of transport and to relieve congestion I support all cycleways.			
Strongly support	Thr first priority is to bring the rail trail to Mullumbimby from Wooyong -this will immediately link up to the New Brighton link at Billinudgel and the bridge at billinudgel. If I have to choose between these two schemes (I think you should do both) I would choose saddle road as then links up the industrial estate (thereby adding value and encouraging people to cycle to work and to Wandana). While i am aware the synotts lane option is less hilly, the reality is that in 5-10 years the large majority of bike journeys will involve e bikes (based on European experience), therefore hills will not be an issue. People coming into cycling are buying e bikes so there is no need to go to extra expense to make it flat. For an easy flat scenic ride we will have the rail trail. The flat option looks more complicated (private land) and more flood prone, next to river (as it is the link from Billinudgel to Ocean Shores floods very readily under the highway with any significant rainfall). This is not going to be the case with the Saddle road option.	- saddle road option will activate cycling to industrial estate for workers and visitors to Wandana as well. - anyone commuting will more than likely have an e bike so hill is not an issue - creates a more natural loop ride to go from Mullum to Bruns then to ocean shores - over to Billinudgel then back along rail trail.	Option 2 - the south option	As the rail trail is going to come to Mullum anyway (you'd be insane not to do it). The South option makes more sense as there is already a link from railway line to Ocean shores and can cycle to Bruns from there that way if you want.
Strongly support		Safe off road is the best option	Unsure	Both options sound like a lot of road riding
Strongly support	It's great to cycle save roads	Don't use my car	Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	

**To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?**

**Please provide any feedback you have about the project**

**Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway**

**Which option do you prefer?**

**Please provide any other comments you'd like to make**

Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	I think the whole loop should be done. This allows safe areas for exercise, allows connection between communities for those without cars and those looking to reduce the carbon footprint. We as a Shire need to provide more spaces for people to be active safely and explore out amazing region	Great space for kids to ride safely!!!	Option 1 - the north option	Creating the entire loop (both) is a great option for locals and tourism!
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	I am a keen recreational cyclist and would like to explore the local area on my push bike.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	As a long term resident of the shire I have not understood why there are no safe and accessible bike trails when there is so little public transport. With the increase burden of obesity, we need our shire to offer opportunities for healthy transit between villages that are accessible and inclusive for all residents and visitors of all abilities. The two plans are good, but the Bruns option should start at the Rajah rd intersection to include Ocean Shore too.		Option 2 - the south option	The existing shared path to Ocean shores along Brunswick Valley Way need real attention. To ride from Bruns to Rajah Rd has no safe shoulder to ride with children and the hill is too steep for powered wheelchairs.
Strongly support	Please try and make these projects happen in Byron Shire. I have ridden on rail trails all over Australia and New Zealand and they are an amazing addition to their communities. Heavily supported by both locals and tourists and are not just used by cyclists. All of the areas I have visited have benefited both financially and increased the vibrancy of their precincts.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly oppose			Option 2 - the south option	Leave the rail corridor alone. Bring rail option back.
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	This will attract cyclers to the area		Unsure	
Strongly support	I dont believe that an on road section of a cycleway into Mullum is safe.		Unsure	I would like to see more direct routes that are off road.

To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?	Please provide any feedback you have about the project	Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway	Which option do you prefer?	Please provide any other comments you'd like to make
Strongly support	<p>I have many concerns. In particular, the narrowness of options that are being considered.</p> <p>Council has at its disposal a panel of randomly-selected residents for community engagement. This topic lends itself to the use of that panel with input from regular bike users.</p> <p>Was this panel used?</p> <p>One option, the Argyle/Gulgan option really should be considered.</p>	<p>I can see problems with the North Route option and the South Route option. Please do not select either.</p>	<p>I don't support either of the two options proposed</p>	<p>North Route--because this one uses the rail line it is sure to incur delays. It is too isolated for children and women and involves a steep hill.</p> <p>South Route is much too steep.</p> <p>The Argyle St/Gulgan Rd is much better. It may not be as picturesque or quiet as either of the options on offer but it can be quite safe if effectively separated from the road , it's quite flat and it's not too isolated. I also love the idea of drivers routinely seeing cyclists and imagining themselves doing likewise.</p>
Strongly support	<p>I have cycled from Ocean Shores to Mullumbimby many times and also have used many cycle trails around the world. I recognise the significant benefits to health, the environment and local economy that well planned cycle routes provide so I strongly support the council's work towards achieving this.</p>	<p>A particularly important use would be for children living in Ocean Shores and Brunswick Heads to go to Mullumbimby High School by bike. Maybe some primary school children would also use the route. Safety therefore has to be a very important factor.</p>	<p>Option 1 - the north option</p>	<p>I would really like both (plus the railtrail extension to Billinudgel)! My only concern about option 1 is that crossing the Brunswick Valley Way at the Smokey Valley Way intersection does not seem to me to be safe enough for children without some major works (eg a cycle lane, protected by barriers, along the road to the roundabouts in both directions)</p>
Strongly support	<p>i really like the idea of a cycle way to BH but not at the expense of a rail service ..a rail service is absolutely vital for Mullumbimby to get traffic off the road to byron and bangalow and to the north of the shire ..If the cycle way is to go along the main road into Mullum it needs to be a completely separated path from the road with guard rails as this is a very narrow dangerous road and lines on the road would not be sufficient..</p>		<p>Unsure</p>	
Strongly oppose	<p>Please do not rip up any of the railway we need to extend the byron train to yelgun for transport for splendour in the grass!!.</p>		<p>Option 2 - the south option</p>	
Strongly support	<p>Option 1 provides an invaluable, safe link between not just Mullum and Bruns but also for Ocean Shores as well.</p>	<p>This, along with a safe, off road link to the Murwillumbah rail trail can't come soon enough. It will be an enormous asset to residents in the north of the shire and to visitors.</p>	<p>Option 1 - the north option</p>	<p>This route effectively serves the Ocean Shores community as well as Mullum and Bruns.</p>

To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?	Please provide any feedback you have about the project	Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway	Which option do you prefer?	Please provide any other comments you'd like to make
Somewhat oppose	I am still hoping we can have a train service as well. Please don't jeopardise the possibility of climate friendly train services in our shire (and beyond) by recreational use paths. We desperately need more transport choice. Parents with babies and small children and shopping can use the train along with day trippers, teenagers going to work in a supermarket or cafe and so much more. I'd really appreciate this broader consideration of end users. Thanks	It would make my life so much easier and encourage me to go out more to be able to catch a train from a to b. With some creative thinking we can all have our needs met. Please aim for a cycleway and train. Thank you.	Unsure	Please have the train as well as cycle
Strongly support			Unsure	
Strongly support	This is a great opportunity to provide safe cycle routes within the Byron shire as an alternative to dangerous, potholed roads	Great for kids to cycle to school	Unsure	
Somewhat support	suggest kilo metres be added.	suggest not only cycleway but jogger scooter etc otherwise it seems the path is only for cyclists and you know what a lot of people think of cyclists.	Option 1 - the north option	one that travelled through small towns would be best option .
Strongly support	Use option 2. Keep in mind many road bikes can't easily use the surface that is usually used in a rail corridor. Also we need to keep a train as it can bring bikes to the area, instead of having to drive and must finish a bike trip back at the car. Train and bike. Not just bike	I would be on a road bike so I want road surface quality	Option 2 - the south option	Keep the rail line as an alternative and environmental transport. I can then use the rail to get my bike to another start point
Strongly support	Option 1 looks best	Option 1 looks a much better route	Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	I work in those areas .I would like to cycle to work.		Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	
Somewhat support	Support the project as long as it does not use any existing rail corridor or infrastructure.		Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	
Somewhat support	We need rail in an ever expanding region.		Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	Very excited can't wait I love cycling but don't feel safe on our current roads, I want a track separate from the cars		I don't support either of the two options proposed	Please consider the argyle and Gulgan rd route, we want something that is direct and as flat as possible , if it involves big hills it will not be well used by the general public
Strongly support	Option 2 is better. We need to preserve the rail line for future trains from Byron Bay to Yelgun and North Byron Parklands.	Cycleways can also be used for walking and running.	Option 2 - the south option	We need to preserve the rail line, unlike the other short-sighted councils in the district.



**To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?**

**Please provide any feedback you have about the project**

**Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway**

**Which option do you prefer?**

**Please provide any other comments you'd like to make**

Strongly support	Another suggestion is create a cycle way from Byron bay to Bangalow. I've rode a number of the cycle ways in Victoria they are fantastic. For Byron it would create an opportunity for visitors to get out and explore our beautiful area, promote exercise and give them something to do instead of aimlessly walking around looking for something to do!	Back to my suggestion on. Cycleway from BB to Bangalow. It would evenly distribute the tourist dollars to a large degree.	Option 1 - the north option	
Somewhat oppose	A cycleway is a great asset but rail assets should be preserved for possible commuter transport in the future. For most older residents a cycleway is a useless. Adding a cycleway and rail transport is the ideal solution. Cycle south, Rail north.		Option 2 - the south option	Preserve the rail corridor.
Somewhat support	We ask that you review and choose OPTION 02 - which avoids using the rail corridor north over the Brunswick River to Synotts Lane. This will help ensure that the extension of climate-friendly train services in the Byron Shire and beyond is not put at risk by recreational use bike and hike paths.  In the Tweed Shire our precious train tracks have been ripped up to make way for a recreational use bike and hike path - we cannot let this happen in the Byron Shire.		Option 2 - the south option	We need to keep the return of our train links open. We need to keep the rail tracks in place.
Somewhat support	option 2 is the preferred		Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	Off road option safer for all road users of all ages	Could hook up to proposed train/trail track further north, enhancing tourism dollars into area.	Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	The existing rail corridor must not be compromised. The rail corridor must be maintained to ensure trains will be able to use the line. Trains and cycles cannot co-exist in the same corridor.
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	
Somewhat support			Option 2 - the south option	If Byron Bay Council is serious about sustainable transport then they would not be wiping out the rail corridor.
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	

To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?	Please provide any feedback you have about the project	Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway	Which option do you prefer?	Please provide any other comments you'd like to make
Neutral	I support option two. For many reasons the main one being WE WILL ONEDAY HAVE OUR MUCH-LOVED TRAIN SERVICES BACK. I cannot for the life of me understand how TRAINS are being ignored by our government as a way to allow senior citizens especially (I am seventy next year) to enjoy safe reliable and comfortable passage around our region. We can't ride far or carry much at all on a push bike. PLEASE bring our train services back to us.	I met a Danish woman recently that commented that for about seventy years cycle paths have existed harmoniously beside trains in Denmark. As I am older, I don't envision myself riding a pushbike due to an old knee complaint. It would be lovely however looking out of a train window watching the youngsters and the hipsters out frolicking in the sun and the rain, while I go do my shopping at various places with my friend. Everywhere that the train stops around the district for many thousands of us would be a great day out.	Option 2 - the south option	History already records the great calamity that fell upon Lismore city and all towns in the region after our train services stopped. Over two million visitors go to Byron Bay annually. This volume of tourism CANNOT be serviced by a push bike track. To connect the Gold Coast to Byron Bay with train services is to FLOURISH the region. People can hop on trains with their pushbikes to go off exploring what our countryside has to offer once they get here. Tourism requires transportation and our country highways are crowded. Push bike tourism is for when tourists arrive. Not to bring them here and most certainly train passengers (of all ages and health condition) purchase far more local products and take advantage of far more services than people on pushbikes. Please do consider how advantageous it will be to our country society when we have both services. To ignore this DEFINITE need for a regular train service resumption for people, I suggest is to not live here as it is far more than obvious that Trains (as well as pushbike tracks) are required.
Strongly support	Hi Support the need for cycle tracks as expressed in this proposal. Nonetheless it is essential in my opinion that we do not do any development that will prevent re-establishment of a railway service on the existing tracks. It is very important that we create at the very least a railway service from Mullumbimby to Byron Bay and return Such a service is environmentally friendly allows for efficient park-and-ride services and provides people with the opportunity to either work or relax on the train journey between these towns. Furthermore, there are many good reasons why we should have a train service through to Brisbane from Byron Bay so that people can work and will relax on the journey between Brisbane and the local area. Such a train service between Brisbane and Byron Bay would alleviate parking problems in all Byron Shire towns, will provide a low-cost means for people to travel between Brisbane and Byron Bay.		Option 1 - the north option	
Somewhat support			Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly oppose			Option 2 - the south option	

To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?	Please provide any feedback you have about the project	Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway	Which option do you prefer?	Please provide any other comments you'd like to make
Strongly support	I support the "concept" of a cycleway connecting Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads. However, I do not support the use of the railway corridor, nor passing through farmers paddocks.	The only path I support is via existing roads and cycleways. Simply make the road bicycle friendly, with appropriate shoulders.	Option 2 - the south option	I do not support taking a valueable public transport infrastructure, and reducing it to a cycleway. This is not in the best interest of the public. A railway is for the use of everyone for public transport. A cyclway is only for cyclists in the community.
Strongly support	It is the future. Elec bike uptake will take large numbers of cars off the roads and streets through these tracks.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly oppose			I don't support either of the two options proposed	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly oppose	I prefer the option of trains to connect the northern rivers, create tourism and move freight. Push bikes add somewhat to congestion and incidents on our roads. Many times I have been held up by groups of bikes not using push bike lanes, or clubs diverting traffic so they can race on public roads. Unfair that a valuable resource is lost for this		Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	I support Route 2. I am strongly in support of having the cycle route running alongside the railway tracks so that we can once again enjoy having a train service which is a much preferred option for many, especially the elderly and disabled, and which is so very much more environmentally responsible.	Having the train run alongside the cycleway will also allow for cyclists to load their bicycles onto the train for longer journeys where they wish to ride part of the way but not the whole way. So convenient and helpful.	Option 2 - the south option	Please consider the importance of having both trains and bicycles using this option. Aside from all other considerations the environmental impact is so very very important.
Strongly support	Option 2 Please! We need a mix of transport options and a bike-way is very important, but the return of rail services is MUCH MORE important and any root for the bike-way must NOT interrupt or hinder the railway corridor nor the return of rail. Both forms of transport compliment each other.	A cycle-way that intersects near original train stations would enable the traveler to cycle so far and then catch the train back, when the trains return. Not all of us a fit enough, nor have the desire to cycle too far, we'd love to be able to return by train.	Option 2 - the south option	The return of train services is extremely critical and a would make a huge improvement to the transport woes of the area and the cycle-way will provide another form of transport, but mostly recreational. The cycle-way but it MUST NOT be in lieu of the train; the train corridor is sufficiently wide to enable a cycle-path beside the train tracks, we do not the train tracks removed or compromised.
Strongly support	North	Put cycleways everywhere	Option 1 - the north option	Cyclists dont want to be near cars
Strongly oppose	Rail is the obvious environmental choice and it will solve way more current issues than kids on a bike can!		Option 2 - the south option	
	I consider the promotion of cycling as a mode of transport to be massively important and am highly supportive of the idea of a Mullum to Bruns cycleway. Cycling infrastructure is important but it is not everything. It is a necessary but not sufficient condition. We also need cultural change, both in the way motor vehicle drivers interact with cyclists, and also the way prospective cyclists view mobility.			

To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?	Please provide any feedback you have about the project	Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway	Which option do you prefer?	Please provide any other comments you'd like to make
Strongly support	I ride everywhere and have learnt to look after myself on the roads and believe there needs to be more education about the fact that riding a bike on our roads is not a death sentence. That said, any cycle route connecting Mullum and Bruns will be great. I have walked the Synotts lane route which would be wonderful, especially as it implies the long overdue reactivation of the rail corridor as a community trail. But I also think a bikeway on the shoulder of Mullumbimby Road — either using Gulgan Rd or Saddle Rd — would be great too. Using the road seems like a simpler, less invasive, option to me. Thanks for putting this proposed cycleway on the agenda and please make it happen. Such infrastructure should not be built in isolation though — it must be accompanied by the promotion of cycling, not just as recreation but as transport too.		Option 1 - the north option	I have walked the Synotts lane route which would be wonderful, especially as it implies the long overdue reactivation of the rail corridor as a community trail. But I also think a bikeway on the shoulder of Mullumbimby Road — either using Gulgan Rd or Saddle Rd — would be great too. Using the road seems like a simpler, less invasive, option to me. The problem with the south option is that it seems difficult to build and goes up a massively steep section between Hambly Ln and Henderson -- an issue which would be easily alleviated by staying on the road to at least Saddle road or all the way to the Highway along Gulgan Rd.
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Neutral	It seems like a good proposal But cycleways are for people younger than I trains returned is what I would like Council to support . Please consider extending the Solar train from Byron to Mullumbimby and beyond. Prevent any further destruction of the rail line commuter services between towns would be safer and ease congestion on the roads especially in holiday periods	Good for young people But we need trains much safer		Just stop ripping up train tracks extend the solar train to Mullumbimby
Strongly support	We need this!!!	Yes absolutely great way to show my family the Byron shire	Option 1 - the north option	
Somewhat support			Option 2 - the south option	
Neutral			Option 2 - the south option	Don't destroy the rail corridor, we need rail transport for a sustainable future.
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	I actually think that both options should be seriously considered. Would make a great loop
Strongly support	However, I believe both options are too dangerous. I have cycled in NYC, London, Sydney, Paris, but these roads are next level. The best option would be to construct the cycleway along the river edge so as to avoid hills and cars. This would require negotiation with landowners. The waterway is communal so perhaps a flood-proof boardwalk? This could connect with the railway line and perhaps branch off the main cycle way which has started in Tweed and will bring considerable benefits to the Shires.		Option 1 - the north option	The other route is hillier and has more traffic

To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?	Please provide any feedback you have about the project	Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway	Which option do you prefer?	Please provide any other comments you'd like to make
Strongly support	Prefer option 2	Prefer not to use the rail corridor - this is for trains.	Option 2 - the south option	Bikes, cars and trains all have a positive role to play in transporting people around our increasingly populated shire. I would love to see a bike network extended throughout the shire, not at the expense of public transport (train) options for young people and workers commuting. Our roads are super busy and on a trajectory to dangerous levels. Thank you council for heading in the right direction - keep this up!
Somewhat support	I believe the area definitely needs accessible alternative routes, be this for locals or visitors/backpackers. I also believe that the reinstatement of a rail link or light rail would greatly benefit the local communities. Traveling to Byron via train after school like we did if one of my fondest memories. It is such a shame that it was taken away. So many benefits apart from commuting, gets the truck and drug induced drivers off our narrow roads, opens up area that are pleading for tourism.	So i can send the kids safely off for the day. So i can maybe join them and have a few too many and still get home. so I can give the kids an appreciation of travel and foreigners who the might otherwise not cross paths with.	Option 2 - the south option	Options 2 as there is an existing network and would be cheaper and fast to market. Option 1 is also great as it offers Ocean Shore on route. there are many many arguments for and against but the fact that it will benefits us all out ways the nah Sayers.
Strongly support	The more cycling paths we could have the more sustainable transport alternatives we can offer to the local residents. The rail corridor (north & south) would be an incredible way to connect towns in the region.		Option 2 - the south option	I selected the second option because it would also be a great way to connect the industrial estate to Mullumbimby but the option of going through the rail corridor sounds really appealing and safer.
Somewhat support	While I support more bike paths in general as a way of improving environmental and health issues, I completely oppose any proposal that will impact our ability to put trains back on our rails. Option one appears to utilise part of the rail line and this would prevent future reinstating of this rail corridor. As a means to address environmental issues and the increasing population numbers of our region, please do not approve any options for future developments that would prevent trains (be they electric or solar) returning to our rail lines. Trains are much more user friendly for people with different ability levels, including the aged, than bikes. Trains are often a more appealing option than bikes - particularly in wet or extremely hot weather.	We are a family of our and our adult son is the only one who might occasionally use it (for recreation).	I don't support either of the two options proposed	I don't support option one because it utilises the rail corridor which must be retained so trains can be reinstated. I feel both option will also be unsafe as they use busy roads. There are very few roads in the region that are suitable for any cyclists other than the most experienced. If the route is not safe for all ages and skill levels it will be under utilised and therefore a waste of money. An alternative off-road option should be identified.
Strongly oppose	We want the train back	We want a train	Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	

To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?	Please provide any feedback you have about the project	Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway	Which option do you prefer?	Please provide any other comments you'd like to make
Strongly support	I believe that a cycle way, connecting Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads is an essential element of mobility for residents & tourists alike. Having reviewed the Cycle Route Options report I do favour Option A, and have walked this route along Synott's Lane. This is certainly a picturesque route which suitably connects Mullumbimby, Ocean Shores & Brunswick Heads. But in the end I see the need for a cycle way as extremely important for our shire, and therefore, feel that any of the options need to be built. Option C, Mullumbimby Rd to Gulgan Rd, seems to me to be the most straightforward route. The road already exists, and a bike lane similar to the lane built at Ewingsdale, beside the road, seems practicle. Having cycled Byron Shire's roads for many years, I welcome any cycle route. It is such an important piece of infrastructure, but I must state that the cycle route can not be built in isolation. Educating the community and motorists, to change peoples attitudes towards cyclists and cycling, away from the idea that it is dangerous or a nuisance activity, is essential. Increasing awareness about cycling in our area will make the cycle route more accessible to both commuters, recreational users and tourists.	A cycle way linking Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads will be of great benefit to Byron Shire residents, as well as visitors. The route, Option C, seems a very user friendly route, especially as a commuter & leisure route. This option easily links Mullumbimby to Uncle Tom's and then on to Brunswick Heads. There are options, on this route, to ride and park the bike to car pool or meet a school bus etc. For a more scenic route, Option A is great and is a fairly direct route to Brunswick Heads. This route also helps link Ocean Shores with Mullumbimby.	Option 1 - the north option	
Somewhat support	I support a cycleway from Mullum to Brunswick Heads but not if it endangers the potential for rail services to return on our railway line so I support Option 2.	Having a cycleway / walkway will attract people but having both bike trail and a rail service would be the best combination. If needed to put a cycleway alongside the tracks recycled plastic raised cycleways would be a great option, as used in the Byron Railway Park and at Lennox Head.	Option 2 - the south option	The proposed cycleway should not interfere with the rail service along our current railway lines which is being proposed by several railway companies to extend a climate friendly service between Byron Bay and Mullumbimby to begin with, before extending up to Yelgun to support North Byron Parklands' Splendour and the Falls Festivals with their great need for more practical mass transport systems.
Somewhat support	Definitely more cycle routes should be encouraged but as long as it doesn't stop any possibility of train travel in these areas.		Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support			Unsure	
Strongly support	Please make it accessible to people with disabilities		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	I think it is highly necessary and a great idea. I think it iwill be highly utilised - certainly from our bike-riding family, and other cyclists we know. The South option is definitely more appropriate.	Our two boys could use it for school. I also know lots of young people that would use it to visit friends, and mullum people to get to the beach easily also. (we live in brunswick heads so are already within easy bike riding distance, but we certainly use to the shared path from bayside bruns into town very frequently	Option 2 - the south option	The north option would be very scenic, but would seems more for 'recreational' use rather than being practical or direct. The tunnel would not feel safe for me to ride through on my own as a cautious female rider. The south option seems like it would attract more commuters, get more cars off the road, and seems safe and direct.



**To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?**

**Please provide any feedback you have about the project**

**Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway**

**Which option do you prefer?**

**Please provide any other comments you'd like to make**

Strongly support	no 2	Security Police how is it safe if its away from a road where police can assist ?	Option 2 - the south option	
Somewhat oppose	Please ensure the climate friendly proposed rail services within byron are not put at risk by walking and cycling paths. Surely they can coexist. Ps.Your question above is skewed, in that it does not preclude,exclude or include weather or not the cycle route will jeopardise the existing rail line. So I therefore must stay neutral to ensure my input is NOT skewed	Dont jeopardise the rail tracks	I don't support either of the two options proposed	Any option that does not risk rail-line, with potential for a 2nd track in the future
Strongly support	A must do for our region proved outstandingly successful in Victoria , ticks all the boxes , cars off road , healthy activity , eco tourism etc		Unsure	
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	A great project, I would support either of the options, but would favour the rail corridor because it separates bikes and walkers from cars		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly oppose	absolute nonsense. Money should be spent on TRANSPORT, not removal of infrastructure along the rail corridor for bikes.	ridiculous to suggest we don't need money spent on PUBLIC TRANSPORT	I don't support either of the two options proposed	
Strongly support	Would love to be able to access a riding track before I grow too old		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly oppose	Trains should be reinstated starting at Yelgun and ending in Byron Bay. Yelgun could be used as a parking area for Tweed Shire commuters to catch a train to Byron. I		Option 2 - the south option	Leave the railway in place and use it for commuting. The traffic is only getting worse. The council should improve access to Byron by increasing lanes on Ewingsdale road or reinstate the rail.
Somewhat support	I support it as a necessary means of CO2 reduction, as long as it also leaves trains the means of returning as an even more necessary and obvious step to reducing fossil fuel dependence. Option 2 only.	Option 2 only, we must look for ways to get trains back and fewer cars on our roads.	Option 2 - the south option	I wish you made it more clear in this survey the option which most allows for the future of trains, which take more people and are most ecologically viable
	I strongly support cycleway option number two. It's the shortest route to Brunswick Heads.			



<p>To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?</p>	<p>Please provide any feedback you have about the project</p>	<p>Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway</p>	<p>Which option do you prefer?</p>	<p>Please provide any other comments you'd like to make</p>
<p>Strongly support</p>	<p>The valuable North Coast rail line MUST be protected for rail services for locals and 2.5 million tourists-equal to the population of Brisbane- where they're adding to they're to their rail network, not destroying rail lines. 15,000 ratepayers can no longer be burdened with the cost of building and maintaining the road network for 2.5 million tourists. It's time the state government provided the train services they have acknowledged are needed and they promised for many years. Trains are the most cost effective, social and environmentally friendly way to reduce traffic gridlock. We know that bigger, more expensive roads, just move traffic gridlock around, they do not reduce it. Cyclways are useful for reasonably fit people, but 2.5 million tourists with luggage, cyclists, parents with prams and people with mobility problems or using wheelchairs, can all use trains.</p>	<p>Australia has an aging population who need safe, affordable, accessible public transport.</p>	<p>Option 2 - the south option</p>	<p>The ONLY safe, sustainable, cost effective and emissions reducing form of transport accessible for the WHOLE community, no matter how mobile they are, is the train service the state government has promised to provide.</p>
<p>Strongly support</p>			<p>Option 1 - the north option</p>	
<p>Strongly support</p>	<p>The train is an expensive inefficient gimmick in the light of driverless electric vehicles going point to point. Driverless taxis are already used in some cities in the USA, such as Phoenix Arizona.</p>		<p>Option 1 - the north option</p>	
<p>Strongly support</p>			<p>Unsure</p>	
<p>Strongly support</p>	<p>For it to be most useful it needs to be part of an integrated cycleway environment, linking to the rail trail and hence through to Murwillumbah.</p>		<p>Option 1 - the north option</p>	
				<p>Having seen how Tweed Shire Council refused to accept our surveys and petitions, so that they could say...we never had any evidence to the contrary. But hopefully my submission to the proposed National anti corruption Commission will clearly show that up here Tweed Shire Council did not comply with the State Government directive...</p>

To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?	Please provide any feedback you have about the project	Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway	Which option do you prefer?	Please provide any other comments you'd like to make
Neutral	I am very much opposed to any proposed "bike track" that is focused on taking control of any existing rail corridor . As we have seen in Tweed Shire, though the vast majority were against the destruction of our rail lines, Tweed Shire over ruled us, to provide a bike track for an insignificant minority group. I would NOT like to see this happen throughout the shire. WE MUST KEEP OUR. RAIL. CORRIDORS. FOR. FUTURE. GENERATIONS.		I don't support either of the two options proposed	.://www.dpc.nsw.gov.au/updates 2018/08/rail-trails-community consultation..../.where the Government stated that they were in support in principle of rail-trails...WHERE. THERE. IS.STRONG. COMMUNITY. SUPPORT. I now trust no one! Obviously Tweed Shire Council had set out to deceive the State Government,so that their proposed bike track could we t the stage for every obtainable piece of rail line corridor could be acquired for the benefit of the few. You will say...we are I only talking about the Ocean Shores to Mullumbimby bit etc....but the bike fraternity , after their selfish exploits here will now be telling your...look wet have this wonderful Murwillumbah to Crabbes Creek section...all we need too do is join you to it....and soon we will be connected all around Lismore...right through to Casino. Won't that be wonderful! No it will NOT serve the needs of future generations. I
Strongly oppose	Do not rip up the existing rails. Absolute stupidity. Happy for a cycle path to be built alongside. Bring back what I have seen elsewhere in the world - a 'railcar' about a 40 / 50 seater. Forget about the gimmicky solar train. Imagine a Toyota Coater bus adapted to operate on rails. The corridor is such a scenic treasure and if this Council approves a cycle path being touted by a noisy, cashed up Lycra clad group. Do the right thing even if it is tough to go up against the 'Lycra group'		I don't support either of the two options proposed	
Neutral	Anything that doesn't prevent public transport (ie light rail) being put on the tracks between Mullum, Byron and Bangalow ... would be fine	Unable to ride a bike	Option 2 - the south option	I'd like a train between Mullum and Byron, not a bike track I'd never use
Strongly support	There is great need for this type of development in our area	This cycleway would be highly used by locals and visitors	Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly oppose	This is a ridiculous use of a valuable resource. One would think that a responsible 'Green' council would do all that they could to preserve a valuable public transport corridor. Returning small single carriage trains to run an inter village service & provide a scenic rail journey for tourists would be a much better use of a valuable resource. This would ensure that the facility is available for all age groups not just the young & fit as well as reducing the traffic on the roads into the Byron & Mullumbimby town centres.	Lobby state government to assist in funding a multifunctional small rail transport system for the area that can also be used for tourism.	I don't support either of the two options proposed	

To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?	Please provide any feedback you have about the project	Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway	Which option do you prefer?	Please provide any other comments you'd like to make
Strongly oppose	The rail corridor is major infrastructure in this area. Reinstatement of the rail service will be a much greater contribution to the community and climate action than a cycle path ever will be. Isn't it Rail for Locals rather than bikes for tourists?	Why can't a bike trail run alongside the railway track?	I don't support either of the two options proposed	Rail please
Somewhat oppose	I oppose a bike track if it in anyway compromises the rail tracks and return of a rail service to the Byron Shire - I want to see the rail corridor restored. Otherwise I support a bike track in Option 2 which totally avoids any compromise of the rail corridor	A cycle path is a good idea but not at the expense of the railway corridor being able to be restored for regular rail services on the northern Rivers line - what has so far happened in the Tweed Shire ripping up our precious tracks is a complete tragedy for a few bikers and hikers to use the Rail Corridor for recreational purposes.	Option 2 - the south option	Please do not compromise the railway corridor and the railway tracks for a hikers and bikers path - I want the train tracks restored and a regular climate friendly train service in place for non-road travel as a priority in the Northern Rivers. A bike and walking path as the only option to focus on in this form is way too one sided - many thanks
	It's a little mischievous to brand a bike path which could remove the in-place railway infrastructure as a cycleway, it is clearly a rail trail.			
Strongly oppose	<p>Notwithstanding, there may be some use for this project, but not at the expense of removing the railway infrastructure to create a thoroughfare for those who own a bike and those who could ride one.</p> <p>Has the safety of bike riders been considered along these routes? Take the example of the elderly bike rider who was shot at an isolated place on the Murray to the Mountains rail trail in Victoria. He spent about seven days in hospital recovering, and while this may be the only incident that has made the press, it did happen.</p> <p>Morrison's Hill tunnel is 381 metres long and the Hayne's Hill is 96 metres in length, both between Mullum and Billinudgel. Given the known "social activities" that occur in these areas, I certainly wouldn't jeopardise the well-being of any of my family or friends who want to ride a bike between Mullumbimby, Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores.</p> <p>It seems to have been overlooked or forgotten that Byron Shire Council commissioned a study for a Multi Use of the Railway Corridor in 2019. I do not recall any recommendation to remove the railway infrastructure for any purpose in this document.</p> <p>NOTE: I am a proponent of a business which plans to use the in-place railway infrastructure in Byron Shire for rail transport purposes.</p>	There is nothing on this bike track, if I get sick or have an accident, will I be able to find a house and get help, will my mobile phone work?	Option 2 - the south option	It gets me to Brunswick Heads more quickly but it does go through some private land. Some areas are a little isolated, Are there snakes?
Strongly support	We need bikeways		Option 1 - the north option	

To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?	Please provide any feedback you have about the project	Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway	Which option do you prefer?	Please provide any other comments you'd like to make
Neutral	The route of the bike path needs to be designed so that it doesn't interfere with the potential for a future rail service from Mullumbimby to Billinudgel and Yelgun.		Option 2 - the south option	Please protect the rail corridor & rail tracks so that a future rail service can return that will transport people from Mullumbimby to Billinudgel & Yelgun in the north of the Shire. Trains are more important for transport than cycleways
Strongly support	Please strongly consider this great community asset and the potential it will bring. Safe and efficient healthy transport options for all ages		Option 1 - the north option	Please utilise the rail corridor as much as possible for a cycleway
Neutral			Option 2 - the south option	
Neutral	I believe rail should be re-instated and if not then a railtrail that involves old rail		Option 2 - the south option	
Neutral	It needs to be option 2 so that the railway is not compromised. We absolutely need to keep the railway for the future to cut transport emissions.	I'm too elderly and infirm to ride a bike but I really want to catch a train through Byron.	Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	Great idea! A cycleway will hopefully keep cyclists safer keeping them off roads used by cars & trucks	I don't cycle in my area because its too unsafe - but I would if there were more cycle paths that we're safer.	Option 2 - the south option	By the look of these two options, the South option has slightly more cycleway exposed to the public. While I really like the Option 1 for its scenic & environment characteristics, it looks like it is not as exposed to the public, and therefore could be a target for crime - sad I have to think of that, but I'm a woman.
	We definitely need a safe bike path. When I read the information a few months ago, Option 2 was is and was my choice as I read the information about the bike path. I was very pleased to read that information and I really liked it. This is the most sensible option that I support, being the most practical, shortest and the more direct route.			
Strongly support	Then everyone is catered for. People who cannot drive; young, old, infirm, people who are recovering from accidents so cannot drive. Shuttle buses are the way to go as the Motel owner at XX did years ago - he met the train at Mullumbimby rail station to pick up people who had booked a stay at the motel in a tourism package deal from Sydney, or from anywhere of course, After their holiday, he drove them to Mullumbimby to catch the train back to Sydney as a lot were from Sydney. On the last train - my it was crowed and there was a carriage full of happy people and they were sure were joining in the excitement of that train ride! What train ride that was! What a catastrophe with that stopping of that wonderful train trip. The Billinudgel Playgroup a number of times took the children for train exciting ride to Murwillumbah. I myself did that often too - just for the train ride as children love going on a train and especially going through the tunnels!	Well, I wont be using it of course - a bit past my being able to tackle that ride I'm afraid.	Option 2 - the south option	Honestly, whoever I speak to, and give a lift to, all say "They want the train" & very adamant about that. They always say we should never have had it taken away from us.

To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?	Please provide any feedback you have about the project	Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway	Which option do you prefer?	Please provide any other comments you'd like to make
Strongly oppose	Use the railway alignment for what it was designed to provide to the residents and visitors to the Northern Rivers region not a cycleway. The cost to council to maintain a cycleway will far outward the minute incoming generated by the cycleway. Dedicate part of the existing road network, save on construction and reduce maintenance.	The cycleway will not benefit the wider community nor reduce motor vehicle dependency or traffic congestion and parking.	I don't support either of the two options proposed	BRING BACK THE TRAINS. At least the railway has a good benefit to cost ratio where the cycleway will be negative.
Strongly support			Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Additional to the cycleway a railway link between Mullumbimby, Byron and Bangalow would be super helpful and reduce traffic even more		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	This is an incredibly important and wonderful idea. Other than the obvious benefits to health, the environment I would like to offer a personal reflection. I live in ocean shores and work in Mullumbimby. I have wanted to ride to work for years but find the current road condition to dangerous. A bike path connecting these townships is a no brainer!		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	The Cycle way should connect up with the rail trail, and Byron council should pursue the conversion of the old railway line to a bike trail as soon as possible.	In addition the Cycleway, further bike lanes should be developed to be inclusive of electric bikes for the long term future	Option 1 - the north option	Extend option one to link with further north bike routes to the Northern Rivers Rail Trail.
Strongly oppose	i would support option 2 we need the rail corridor for trains. i am allmost eighty years of age, i would use the train, for local trips, and interstate. we need the trains it is totally ridiculous to pull up the tracks for a bike track...please consider the elderly and people who have disabilities.		Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support	Byron Shire needs to commit to a dedicated off-road cycleway that integrates with the Northern Rivers Rail Trail. All efforts to connect Mullumbimby to the Tweed section of the NRRT should be at the forefront of any decision on the Mullum to Brunz cycleway. Imagine what a boon it would be for Mullum if a Mur'bah to Mullum rail trail experience could be achieved at the same time as this cycleway. Truely one of Australia's most beautiful rail trails would be the result. By focusing on Option 1 - northern option, both these great cycleways could be closer to reality than anyone previously thought possible.		Option 1 - the north option	only Option 1 - northern option opens up many more possibilities to Byron Shire for integration with the Tweed section of the Northern Rivers Rail Trail without impeding on the ability to connect Bangalow - Byron - Mullumbimby by a conventional / light rail system at a future time.
Strongly support	Excellent re-use of the obsolete railway corridor.		Option 1 - the north option	

**To what degree do you support the concept of a cycle route that connects Mullumbimby to Brunswick Heads and Ocean Shores?**

**Please provide any feedback you have about the project**

**Please provide any other comments on use of the proposed cycleway**

**Which option do you prefer?**

**Please provide any other comments you'd like to make**

Strongly support	Great! More cycle ways to connect everyone, and promoting bicycles over cars.		Option 1 - the north option	
Strongly support	Prefer Option 2		Option 2 - the south option	
Strongly support			Option 2 - the south option	
Somewhat support	I like the idea of a cycle way but only if it is supported by the reintroduction of train services. Linking Casino to QLD.	I wont use it if I cannot return on the train.	Unsure	I only want a cycle way if we can have the train too. I am 60 and wont use the path unless the train is there too.
Strongly support	The route needs to be directed away from existing roads and kept as flat as possible to make it a joyful experience for all age groups. Have been wanting this project to be completed for over 50 years!		Option 1 - the north option	Prefer option 1 as it's flatter.
Strongly support	I believe that both routes should be built to give a round trip. This would give more people access to the bike way.		Option 1 - the north option	I believe both options be built for greater access to more people and a safe exercise route.
Strongly support	I think we should utilise the rail corridor and move it along asap.		Option 1 - the north option	We should utilise the rail corridor and join the other local government areas that are also using it.