

Changes included in the Draft Our Mullumbimby Masterplan

Suggestion of Guidance Group	Staff Comments	Recommendation
Inclusion of Heritage Conservation Area in the Masterplan	The Heritage conservation area was considered as particularly important to the integrity of the plan by some members of the guidance group and as such has been included on the map for Precinct 2.	That the Heritage conservation area be shown on the map for Precinct 2 (as is the case in the current draft).
The names of the Precincts should appear on the Maps	Though the names appear beside, it was considered a logical and straightforward task to include the names of the Precincts on the Maps.	That the current draft (including names of the precincts on the maps) be put on display to a wider audience.
Change the priority actions to include the placemaking seed projects	This was at the request of the guidance group to enable the Burringbar Talking Street and is considered appropriate.	That the current draft (including the placemaking seed projects as a priority action) be put on display to a wider audience.
Remove the short term medium term long term labels from the implementation table	Guidance Group members were concerned that this might rule out funding opportunities for some projects so it was suggested this be removed and replaced with enabling actions. This has been undertaken.	That the current draft (including enabling projects) be put on display to a wider audience.
Introduce 'enabling projects' in the implementation table	This was suggested in lieu of the timeframes and has been undertaken in order to provide some context for some actions.	That the current draft (including enabling projects) be put on display to a wider audience.
Make changes to the snapshot to include more appropriate actions	Some of the original actions included in the snapshot were not considered strong representations of the principles, these have been altered.	That the current draft be put on display to a wider audience.
Remove the executive summary	Many members felt the snapshot replaced the executive summary. Consequentially this has been removed.	That the current draft be put on display to a wider audience.

Changes not included in the Draft Our Mullumbimby Masterplan

Suggestion of Guidance Group	Staff Comments	Recommendation
Addition of “and architectural Heritage” to the end of Principle 1	<p>Although architectural Heritage is a significant factor in Mullum’s Country Town feel, it is not considered the only factor that influences the country town feel, and it might be limiting to describe it as such.</p> <p>The architectural heritage of the town is described as being of importance not only in the explanation of the principle, but also in the body of the document, numerous times.</p>	That the principle remain as is, without the addition of “and architectural heritage”.
Remove the community led placemaking diagram	There was some division in the guidance group regarding this diagram; however staff believe that for the time being, it should be left in the document. The process for community led placemaking can be complex depending on the outcome desired; however the diagram is a way of flagging early the minimum requirements from Council for any project.	That the diagram be kept in the document.
More prominently address and discuss flooding	Although flooding is mentioned several times as being a key challenge in the Masterplan, the masterplan is not a flood study, nor does it have capacity to recommend engineering solutions to Mullumbimby’s ongoing flood issues. It is therefore believed by staff that although the flooding issues are of significance, they are prominent enough in the Masterplan to warrant sufficient consideration in the future.	That the document retains the current references to flood.
Include outline of precincts so people can draw in their own ideas	This may be an effective engagement tool, but is not considered vital to the draft masterplan.	Retain the masterplan in its current form and look to engage people during the public exhibition period in various ways.
Minimum of two months exhibition	The current exhibition period is recommended to be six weeks which is considered sufficient for a Place Plan. In addition, the current OP milestone is to have the plan adopted by 31 Dec. Extending the exhibition period might prevent this deadline from being met.	Maintain the six week exhibition period.
Constraints appendix and a Demographic analysis	As discussed in the body of the Council report, at this time, the demographics	That the document be exhibited in its current

<p>appendix</p>	<p>analysis is not considered necessary to the Masterplan as a similar analysis will appear in the Draft Residential Strategy and on the profile ID website.</p> <p>In addition, a constraints appendix is also considered superfluous as this information is easily accessible through Council's LEP.</p>	<p>form without the addition of appendices.</p>
<p>Embed a Principle relating to Community led Placemaking</p>	<p>Although community led placemaking is considered vital to enacting this plan, it does not fit with the principles as they stand.</p> <p>Staff consider it to be more of a delivery mechanism, that is discussed in detail in other areas of the plan.</p>	<p>That the document be exhibited in its current form without the addition of another principles.</p>